Phone call between Adam Bereki and Sergeant Alcantar

Recording Name:

[E5 SAPD- COMPLAINT TO SGT. ABEL ALCANTAR 020720 REFUSAL TO INVESTIGATE PART 2 (FROM SAPD)]

Transcript Prepared By:



(720) 287-3710 1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222

DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097

```
Recording: February 7, 2020, 14:00:33.
 1
 2
    Bereki:
              This is Adam.
 3
    Alcantar: Hey Adam, it's, uh, Sergeant (inaudible - 0:00:35) PD.
 4
    Bereki:
              Hey there.
 5
    Alcantar: All right, so where were we?
 6
    Bereki:
              Okay, so --
 7
    Alcantar: Okay, so -- so they decided that your argument wasn't
               (inaudible - 0:00:44).
 8
 9
              Exactly. So then, uh, they, uh -- the attorney for the
    Bereki:
10
              other side goes and attaches a lien to, uh, a house in
11
              my name --
12
    Alcantar: Okay.
13
    Bereki:
              -- to -- to begin collecting the judgment.
14
    Alcantar: Okay. Interesting.
15
    Bereki:
              So after that I -- I go to the appeal court and I
16
              said, uh, the same thing:
                                            "Hey, wait a minute. Uh,
17
              something's seriously wrong here." And I was still --
18
              again, like I said, confused about what had happened
19
              because -- just in different terms they -- they're
20
              pulling a scam and I -- they duped me with it, to --
2.1
              to some degree. So I was still trying to figure out
22
              what they were doing. So, um, I write my complaint and
23
              they say, "Nope this is not punishment. It's something
24
              called disgorgement."
```



Alcantar: Okay.

```
1
    Bereki:
              All right? So, uh, then I start doing some more
 2
              research and I find out that the U.S. Supreme Court
 3
              has, uh, just ruled in a case regarding disgorgement
              and it was through, um, something called SEC
 4
 5
              disgorgement. It's basically when the Securities and
              Exchange Commission comes after somebody and says,
 6
 7
               "Hey, you do all this illegal trading. You can't
 8
              profit from it. You have to give us all the money
 9
              back, um, that you made, the profits that you made."
10
              So what I find out about a disgorgement action is that
11
              it only appl- -- applies to profits. It's -- it's
12
              based upon California's public policy that says you
13
              can't profit from your own (inaudible - 0:02:15).
14
    Alcantar: Okay, and what is (inaudible - 0:02:17) that?
15
    Bereki:
              Um --
16
    Alcantar: Or they haven't took that yet?
17
    Bereki:
              They have not taken that. So I, uh -- they -- actually
18
              they poo-pooed all of that.
19
    Alcantar: Okay.
20
    Bereki:
              They said, "Nope. It's not punishment." Uh --
2.1
    Alcantar: And we're at the superior (inaudible - 0:02:28) court
22
              level?
23
              We're -- we're at the appellate court level --
    Bereki:
24
    Alcantar: Okay.
25
```



Bereki:

-- at this time. Yeah, so them I write a brief to

1 apply to the California Supreme Court --2 Alcantar: Okay. 3 -- for them to review my case --Bereki: 4 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 5 Bereki: And that review is discretionary. So they denied it. 6 Alcantar: Meaning -- what does that mean? 7 Bereki: It means that, um, they can choose to do it or not. 8 Alcantar: Or not 9 Not that they have to. It's not like, you know, a Bereki: 10 crime occurred (inaudible - 0:02:53) --11 Alcantar: And they haven't decided on it? 12 Bereki: Right. They have to -- they can decide whether they 13 wanna take the case or not. 14 Alcantar: But as of now they (inaudible - 0:03:00)? They denied it. 15 Bereki: 16 Alcantar: Oh, they denied it? Okay, they decided not to? 17 Bereki: They -- they decided not to take the case. 18 Alcantar: Okay. 19 Bereki: They did not -- they did not hear what would be called the merits of the case. 20 2.1 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 22 They didn't hear the issues. They just said, you know, Bereki: 23 uh, "We're not taking it." And I think part of the

cases that have come up under this issue --

reason for that is because they have several previous

24

25

- 1 | Alcantar: So when that happened and that (inaudible 0:03:23 to
- 2 0:03:28)?
- 3 Bereki: Yes.
- 4 Alcantar: Okay.
- 5 Bereki: Exactly. Exactly. Um, so after that I wrote to the
- 6 U.S. Supreme Court and they didn't wanna take it.
- 7 Well, in the midst off not taking mine they threw out
- 8 1,000 other petitions that they didn't wanna hear.
- 9 Alcantar: Uh, uh -- by your case?
- 10 Bereki: Including my case.
- 11 Alcantar: Oh, okay. Oh -- oh, oh, along with other -- other
- 12 petitioners.
- 13 Bereki: Exactly. Exactly.
- 14 Alcantar: Okay.
- 15 Bereki: Exactly. So then I went to the federal court --
- 16 Alcantar: Okay.
- 17 Bereki: -- and I said, "Hey --"
- 18 Alcantar: Like a district court?
- 19 Bereki: A federal district court.
- 20 Alcantar: Okay.
- 21 Bereki: Exactly. Uh, this happened in October of 2019.
- 22 Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
- 23 Bereki: And I said, "Hey, what you're doing here is unlawful.
- They cannot take a million dollars of my property
- 25 without due process. There has to be a law that says



1 that they can take my property before they take it. 2 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 3 And the law does not say that. And so I just got the Bereki: 4 ruling yesterday and the judge wrote about six pages 5 and she denied my claim, claiming that --6 Alcantar: This is the district court? 7 Bereki: District court judge, yes. 8 Alcantar: Okay. 9 She said, basically, "You already had a trial in Bereki: 10 California." 11 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 12 "You can't come to the federal court --Bereki: 13 Alcantar: Right. 14 -- and have another -- have another trial." Bereki: 15 Alcantar: Okay. 16 Bereki: And my argument had been, to her, was that this is a 17 penal action. They took a civil action that -- so in 18 law the- -- there's two -- two types of things. You've 19 heard of civil and penal, obviously --20 Alcantar: Right. 2.1 Bereki: -- or criminal and civil. 22 Alcantar: Right. 23 Bereki: There also are words called "penal" and "remedial." 24 Alcantar: Okay.

25

Bereki:

So in --

```
Alcantar: What does that mean?
 1
 2
    Bereki:
              -- in ci- -- in -- in civil action, uh, they're
 3
              typically remedial, meaning someone comes in and they
 4
              say, "Hey, you damaged my car. Here's the evidence of
 5
              the damages. Pay me 1,000 bucks --
 6
    Alcantar: And remedy the situa- (inaudible - 0:05:43)?
 7
    Bereki:
              Exactly.
 8
    Alcantar: Okay.
 9
              So someone comes in the presents damages. Now, under
    Bereki:
10
              penal law, as you know, there -- there has to be a
11
              victim in order for there to crime.
12
    Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
13
              You have to prove damages, usually. But there are
    Bereki:
              instances where there are penal actions such as
14
              robbery where there may be a victim but there is a
15
16
              fine attached to that fine for violating the assessed.
17
    Alcantar: Okay.
18
              Okay? So that's what they're doing in these cases is
    Bereki:
19
              they are creating a fine that is not remedial because
20
              the people don't come in and they don't prove any
2.1
              damages. They just say, "Hey, the guy didn't have a
22
              license. Give me a million dollars back." And the
23
              Court says, "Okay, here you go."
24
    Alcantar: Okay.
25
              "You get to keep the house, and you get another
    Bereki:
```



million bucks. 1 2 Alcantar: Uh-huh. 3 And clearly that violates the excessive fines clause. Bereki: 4 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 5 Bereki: So where I'm coming from with this, and why I -- I'm 6 reaching out to you to make a crime report is because 7 they declared that there are three items of evidence that have to be on the record to make this claim, like 8 9 elements of an offense --10 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 11 -- and they're not there. And so, because they're not Bereki: 12 there they committed fraud on the courts, or general 13 fraud under 484, and now they are using that as the 14 authority for this other party, and being, uh, the 15 lawyer, to come and seize, uh, my property. 16 Alcantar: Mm-hmm. 17 Bereki: It -- it's not actually mine, it's my mother's, but 18 it's in my name. 19 Alcantar: Right, right, the lien, the property in lien. 20 Bereki: Exactly. Exactly. 2.1 Alcantar: Correct. 22 Um, and this is -- this is not okay. I mean this is, Bereki: 23 um -- before I retired from the police department I 24 worked major fraud and forgery.

25

Alcantar: Mm-hmm.

```
So I have experience investigating and looking at all
 1
    Bereki:
 2
              this stuff. I'd gotten the legislative history
              records. I have all of it. And no -- no one is doing
 3
              anything about it. And this is -- this theft has been,
 4
 5
              uh, going on for decades, and no one --
 6
    Alcantar: The judgment from the court, you're -- correct?
 7
    Bereki:
              Ye- -- yes. Well, the other one. They -- this law has
              been in effect since 2001 (ph).
 8
 9
    Alcantar: So which -- which court is the one (inaudible -
10
              0:08:02 to 0:08:06), uh, that's saying -- was that the
11
              superior court?
12
    Bereki:
              That's the judge in Santa -- yes, Santa Ana.
13
    Alcantar: Okay.
14
              Central Justice Center, Santa Ana Superior Court (ph).
    Bereki:
15
    Alcantar: So the superior court (inaudible - 0:08:14 to 0:08:30)
16
              type of thing (ph)?
17
    Bereki:
              Correct.
18
    Alcantar: Okay.
19
    Bereki:
              Yeah.
20
    Alcantar: So how is it (inaudible - 0:08:52) what did your --
2.1
              you -- your (inaudible - 0:08:55) our police
22
              department?
23
              Okay. I would like to make a crime report.
    Bereki:
24
    Alcantar: About?
```



Because it is a crime to, uh -- I don't know exactly

25

Bereki:

```
what 484 says. I can go pull it up real quick
 1
 2
               (inaudible - 0:09:11) --
 3
    Alcantar: It just -- let's just say (inaudible - 0:09:13 to
 4
               0:09:18).
 5
    Bereki:
               Well through -- through misrepresentation and -- and
 6
               defrauding.
 7
    Alcantar: Okay.
               So you defraud somebody and you misrepresent
 8
    Bereki:
 9
               something, and then you take their property. And I
10
               would even go beyond that and argue the point --
11
    Alcantar: So who's -- who's defrauding here? Who's (inaudible -
12
               0:09:29)? The -- the state?
13
    Bereki:
               The judges. The judges. Yes, the judges (inaudible -
14
               0:09:35).
15
    Alcantar: In their judgment?
16
    Bereki:
               Yes.
    Alcantar: In their judgment? So by their --
17
18
    Bereki:
               Yes.
19
    Alcantar: -- judgment you're saying that (inaudible - 0:09:41 to
20
               0:09:45)?
              Yes, it would -- it would be like, um, if one of your
2.1
    Bereki:
22
               officers came to my house and arrested me and said,
23
               "Hey, uh, you're wearing a pink shirt. You can't have
24
               a pink shirt, and so I'm gonna fine you a million
25
               dollars."
```



```
1
    Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
 2
    Bereki:
              And I said to you -- I called you and I said, "Hey, I
 3
               wanna make a complaint," and you said, "Well, what's
               the crime?" I said, "That's the point. What is the
 4
               crime? He wants to take a million dollars from me and
 5
 6
               there's no -- there's no law that says you can do it."
 7
               It hasn't actually ever been enacted.
 8
    Alcantar: Right.
 9
    Bereki:
               And so then --
10
    Alcantar: The- --
11
    Bereki:
               -- now --
12
    Alcantar: -- all right.
13
    Bereki:
               -- I'm saying to you they're trying to take my
14
               property under color of law without any authority.
15
               That's the same thing (inaudible - 0:10:23).
16
    Alcantar: Did -- did all the -- all the (inaudible - 0:10:26 to
17
               0:10:34), um --
18
    Bereki:
               That's why I'm calling you.
19
    Alcantar: So -- so -- so (inaudible - 0:10:45 to 0:10:48)
20
               avenues (ph) of the court system, the judicial system
2.1
               that, "Hey, uh, I was wronged here, or this is an
22
               injury (ph) or a wrong judgment. Uh, let me appeal it
23
               to this court," and either they yea or nay or refuse
24
               to even hear the case. But I don't know how we can get
25
               involved in this matter.
```



```
1
    Bereki:
              Okay, because everything that you, uh, just talked
 2
              about --
 3
    Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
 4
    Bereki:
              -- was my civil remedy.
 5
    Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
 6
    Bereki:
              There's a criminal remedy if -- like let's say a judge
 7
              goes out and he steals something from somebody.
 8
    Alcantar: Yeah.
 9
              That's a clearcut case. The guy committed theft.
    Bereki:
10
    Alcantar: Yes, if he does it through his bench, through the
11
              judgment (inaudible - 0:11:28 to 0:11:36), so if he --
12
    Bereki:
              No, because he still committed --
13
    Alcantar: -- steals -- if he goes to the parking lot and steals
14
               (inaudible - 0:11:38 to 0:11:51) by any means, uh --
15
    Bereki:
              Right.
16
    Alcantar: -- is that when he makes a decision (ph) off the
17
               (inaudible - 0:11:55 to 0:12:01) would be to go
18
              through the system that's in- -- (inaudible - 0:12:03
19
              to 0:12:04) --
20
    Bereki:
              And what if --
2.1
    Alcantar: -- is another type of angle to do that. I -- I don't
22
              see it from (inaudible - 0:12:06 to 0:12:17).
23
    Bereki:
              I do totally hear what you're saying, but there is
24
              also a point, and it's the point that I'm coming from
```



```
Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
 1
              -- where someone's sitting, um -- if we put it --
 2
    Bereki:
 3
              let's put it in the context -- maybe I -- an example
 4
              is an ex- -- coming to mind, but maybe we can come up
 5
              with one together, where let's say one of your
 6
              officers, um, commits a crime while on duty. Are we
 7
              gonna say that -- that he did that in his personal
 8
              capacity, and so it's not a crime?
9
    Alcantar: Well what (inaudible - 0:12:49 to 0:13:00) that will
10
              determine your fate.
11
    Bereki:
              Right. So --
12
    Alcantar: The judge, in his capacity, (inaudible - 0:13:06) to
13
              0:13:24).
14
    BerekiCorrect.
15
    Alcantar: It's not like we have the ability on our end (ph) to
16
              -- to -- to find judgment (ph) (inaudible - 0:12:23 to
17
              0:12:31) --
18
    Bereki: Correct.
19
    Alcantar: -- or the courts will deci- -- (inaudible - 0:12:32
20
              to 0:12:42).
2.1
    Bereki: Correct.
22
    Alcantar: I hear you. I get it. I (inaudible - 0:13:47 to
23
              0:14:11).
24
    Bereki:
              Okay, so let me -- let me give you this example.
25
    Alcantar: Mm-hmm.
```



```
1
    Bereki:
              Let's say, again, that -- we'll use the pink shirt
 2
              example. One of your officers goes out and he sees
 3
              someone wearing a pink shirt and he arrests him.
              "You're under arrest for wearing a pink shirt, and I'm
 4
 5
              taking you to jail." And so then the person asks,
              "Well, under what law and authority are you doing that
 6
 7
              under?" And he says, "Well, it's -- it's a law. It's -
 8
              - it's a crime. You -- you broke a crime -- you broke
 9
              the law. You're going to jail. And I'm filing these
10
              charges against you." Okay, same thing. A judge can
11
              only act within the scope of his law. The minute that
12
              officer places that person, or takes that person into
13
              custody or detains them there's been no authority --
14
    Alcantar: Right, but, for example --
              -- no authority under --
15
    Bereki:
16
    Alcantar: -- let's go back to the shirt real quick. You s- --
17
               (inaudible - 0:15:00 to 0:15:25) that you owe money
18
              based (ph) on this. And the appellate court is
19
               (inaudible - 0:15:29 to 0:15:32). Now if the appellate
              court decides --
20
21
    Bereki:
              Well, if -- if --
22
    Alcantar: -- that he's -- he's in the (inaudible - 0:15:354 to
23
              0:15:40).
24
    Bereki:
              Well, the difference is you see when your officer made
25
              that arrest he exceeded his authority, or he did
```



```
1
               something that he was not authorized by the state to
 2
               do. In fact he committed a crime because then --
 3
    Alcantar: Right, so you would have, if it was an --
 4
    Bereki:
               -- (inaudible - 0:15:53).
 5
    Alcantar: -- i- -- unlawful ar- -- (inaudible - 0:15:5 to
 6
               0:16:12).
 7
               Right, and the DA could also prosecute the officer
    Bereki:
 8
               for, uh, false arrested, deprivation of rights, all of
 9
               the criminal side of it as well.
10
    Alcantar: Correct. Correct.
11
               Okay, so that's --
    Bereki:
12
    Alcantar: So there is that ability, if it was that egregious,
13
               and (inaudible - 0:16:25) and --
14
    Bereki:
              That's what I'm --
15
    Alcantar: -- and so -- so the -- so --
16
    Bereki:
              -- talking about.
17
    Alcantar: -- so then your case here, (inaudible - 0:16:33 to
18
               0:17:03).
19
    Bereki:
               So I reached out to them already --
20
    Alcantar: So you --
2.1
               -- and I have a written letter from them, saying that
    Bereki:
22
               they do not investigate these types of crimes.
23
    Alcantar: Right.
               So the AG's office isn't it.
24
    Bereki:
25
```



Alcantar: Yeah.

```
So that's why I'm reaching out to you because,
 1
    Bereki:
 2
              essentially --
 3
    Alcantar: I -- I -- I don't -- I don't (inaudible - 17:18 to
 4
              0:17:32).
 5
    Bereki:
              Well, let's put it -- let's put it in a different
 6
              context really quick.
 7
    Alcantar: Okay.
              Let's just say that the judge commits a -- he commits
 8
    Bereki:
 9
              a crime while in the scope of his duty. Commits a
10
              crime. It's penal code. Violates the penal code while
11
              in the court (inaudible - 0:17:49).
12
    Alcantar: Right. So let's just say something is so (inaudible -
13
              0:17:50 to 0:18:03) --
14
    Bereki:
              Right.
15
    Alcantar: -- because I just do not like him and I (inaudible -
16
              0:18:05 to 0:18:03).
17
    Bereki:
              Right.
18
    Alcantar: But (inaudible - 0:18:16) the county prosecutor, and
19
              it (inaudible - 0:18:18) be law enforcement. You
20
              already (inaudible - 0:18:21 to 0:18:23) the AG's
2.1
              office is already telling you (inaudible - 0:18:24 to
22
              0:18:31).
23
    Bereki:
              So that -- that's why I'm coming to you, saying that
24
              you have a crime, essentially, that committed -- that
25
              was committed -- again, assuming that what I'm --
```



```
Alcantar: I don't -- I don't -- I don't see a crime.
 1
 2
    Bereki:
              -- saying is correct.
 3
    Alcantar: I -- I s -- (inaudible - 0:8:42 to 0:18:51).
 4
    Bereki:
              Right.
 5
    Alcantar: I do not know the ins and out- -- (inaudible - 0:18:51
 6
              to 0:19:05) attorney (inaudible - 0:19:10 to 0:19:17)
 7
              matter, as far as (inaudible - 0:18:19) for -- for
 8
              fraud and theft, or anything of that nature (ph).
 9
              Okay. I -- I -- I thought I would reach out, and you
    Bereki:
10
              have been so cool and understanding a- -- and you have
              listened to me, and I am so grateful for that. But I
11
12
              wanted to check with you first to, uh, ensure that you
13
              guys were not -- we're not on the same page. And
14
              that's totally fine. I -- I -- my position, as you
15
              well know, is that no one's above the law. And so --
16
    Alcantar: I agree.
17
    Bereki:
              -- if a judge commits a crime and I come to you as the
18
              public official that has the duty to enforce the law
19
              when a criminal act has been taken against me, and
20
              that someone is attempting to deprive me of my rights
2.1
              and property and liberty, and I come to you and I'm
22
              screaming out for help, to all the different agencies
23
              of California, and no one's listening, and then I go
              to --
24
25
    Alcantar: I -- I'm listening, Adam. I (inaudible - 0:20:14 to
```



```
1
               0:20:19) I waited, uh, this --
 2
    Bereki:
               True.
 3
    Alcantar: -- and whatnot, and I did not (inaudible - 0:20:23 to
 4
               0:20:26--
 5
    Bereki:
               True, true.
 6
    Alcantar: Uh --
 7
    Bereki:
               Uh, you're right about that. I -- I totally concede.
 8
               Okay, when I -- when I say no one's listening, no one
 9
               is taking remedial action --
10
    Alcantar: Got it.
11
              -- about any (inaudible - 0:20:36).
    Bereki:
12
    Alcantar: Got it. Understood.
13
    Bereki:
              Okay?
14
    Alcantar: Got it.
15
    Bereki:
               Sorry. Thank you for correcting me there. You're
16
               right. Um, so that's -- that's what I'm -- I'm talking
17
               about here.
18
    Alcantar: Right.
19
    Bereki:
              And, um, th- -- what -- I get what you're saying,
20
               where this is not just some run-of-the-mill, you know,
2.1
               4-5-9 report, or something simple that's cut and dry
22
               that's in the nature of the police department
23
               operations. But at the same time, um, ev- -- even if
              we just hypothetically assume that what the judge did
24
25
               was egregious and criminal, and I come to you and say,
```



```
"I wanna make a criminal complaint because this public
 1
 2
              official committed this crime. He violated the penal
              code and here's how we did it." And then I come to the
 3
              police department. The police department says, "Whoa,
 4
 5
              whoa, whoa, whoa. This is a judge. Uh, he just
              did something in his -- in the course and scope of his
 6
 7
              duty, and, uh, you know, you gotta call someone else."
    Alcantar: We- -- well, n- -- not exactly. I me- -- (inaudible -
 8
 9
              0:21:42 to 0:21:50) statutes (ph) that were violated
10
               (inaudible - 0:21:55 to 0:21:59) as far as the pena--
              - (inaudible - 0:22:00 to 0:22:10).
11
12
    Bereki:
              Right. But the judgment has to be within the law, and
13
              it has to be based upon evidence.
14
    Alcantar: Right. And that is what --
15
    Bereki:
              It's just like --
16
    Alcantar: -- the appellate courts are for (inaudible - 0:22:20
17
              to 0:22:32). Watson (ph) (inaudible - 0:22:33)
18
              Alcantar (inaudible - 0:22:34 to 0:23:44). Hey, Adam
19
               (ph)?
20
    Bereki:
              Yeah?
2.1
    Alcantar: Um, I can't hang on (inaudible - 0:23:46) much (ph)
22
              longer, um, do you need my information or what else
23
               (ph) -- what -- what can I provide (ph), uh --
24
    Bereki:
              Um, nothing. I was just gonna read you part of 484,
25
              uh, and just share that, you know, like really quickly
```



```
the example of if one of your cops pulled me over and
 1
 2
               they took $500 that was in my console.
 3
    Alcantar: Right.
 4
    Bereki:
               That would be theft.
 5
    Alcantar: I get it. I mean I get your argu- -- (inaudible -
 6
               0:24:106 to 0:24:11). I totally understand your
 7
              position (inaudible - 0:24:12 to 0:24:38). Do you want
               that?
 8
 9
    Bereki:
               Okay. Sure. That would be great.
10
    Alcantar: Okay. So it's Sergeant Alcan- -- (inaudible -
11
               0:24:39).
12
    Bereki:
               Okay.
13
    Alcantar: And that's A-L-C-A -- (inaudible - 0:24:40).
14
    Bereki:
               Okay.
15
    Alcantar: And my badge, uh, 258- --
16
    Bereki:
              Okay. Thank you so much for your time. I really
17
               appreciate --
18
    Alcantar: Hey Adam, good luck with all this, uh (inaudible -
19
               0:24:06 to 0:24:17).
20
    Bereki:
               Thank you. No, I can tell you're a good guy. And I
2.1
               appreciate your time and -- and your sincerity and --
22
               and your help.
23
    Alcantar: All right, sir.
24
    Bereki:
               Thank you so much.
```



Alcantar: Have a good one.

```
1
    Bereki:
               Okay.
 2
    Alcantar: Bye.
 3
    Recording: February 7, 2020, 14:26:16.
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
```



I, Brian T. Henderberg, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a full, true, and correct statement of the audio file provided to me titled "E5 SAPD- COMPLAINT TO SGT.

ABEL ALCANTAR 020720 REFUSAL TO INVESTIGATE PART 2 (FROM SAPD)".

DATED this 23rd day of June, 2025.

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1920

June Mary

Brian T. Henderberg

Ditto Transcripts 1355 S. Colorado Blvd.

Suite C515

Denver, CO 80222 Tel: 720-287-3710 Fax: 720-952-9897

DUNS Number: 037801851

CAGE Code: 6C7D5

Tax ID #: 27-2983097