Phone call with Matt from Senator Min's Office

Recording Name: [E33- SENATOR MIN]

Transcript Prepared By:



720-287-3710 1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222

DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097

1	Matt:	listen to whatever your concerns are and just kind
2		of what chat about what's goin' on, or if it was
3		like there's a bill coming up and you'd like to take a
4		position on somethin', or wanna let you tell us about
5		your position. Like that's the kind of stuff that we
6		can do. Um, so but Adam, tell me what's goin' on.
7	Bereki:	Okay, j before I do that, I I'm sorry, I missed
8		your name. Can you tell me again?
9	Matt:	Oh, my name is Matt.
10	Bereki:	Matt, okay.
11	Matt:	Yes.
12	Bereki:	Thank you thank you for for being willing to
13		to listen and and help me, Matt.
14	Matt:	Mm-hmm.
15	Bereki:	So, um, uh, I'm gonna give you the Reader's Digest
16		version, uh.
17	Matt:	Okay.
18	Bereki:	So long story short, I used to be a police officer in
19		California. When I was, um, retired I got into
20		construction work. Like the law requires, I went and
21		got a, uh, contractor's license, passed all the exams,
22		and all of that stuff
23	Matt:	Mm-hmm.
24	Bereki:	and then started, um, doing construction work.
25	Matt:	Okay.



Um, basically I worked for a -- primarily for a 1 Bereki: 2 wealthy family here in Orange County, and, um, I was 3 doing a multimillion-dollar remodel project for them. 4 We had a dispute, it ended up in court. 5 Matt: Okay. 6 Bereki: Um, they alleged that I was unlicensed --7 Matt: Okay. -- and the court fined me almost one million dollars 8 Bereki: 9 for not being licensed. 10 Uh-huh. Matt: Okay. 11 Um, so I said, uh, wait a minute judge, this is what's Bereki: called an excessive fine. Um, I said, even under the, 12 uh -- even under the licensing laws, the maximum fine 13 14 under the criminal statute is 5,000. This is 186 15 times that. 16 Matt: Yeah. 17 Bereki: So, um, after that, what I found is there's a statute, 18 um, that also denies -- it suspends or revokes your 19 license until you pay the fine, or the judgment. 20 Matt: Mm-hmm. 21 Bereki: So, there's another major problem with that because 22 you don't get a hearing. Not even an administrative 23 hearing. And there is no appeal process. 24 Matt: Hm. 25 So, um, uh, as immediately, you know, the judgment was Bereki:



void because it violates both the Excessive Fines 1 2 Clause to the California u- -- and the U.S. Constitution. 3 4 Matt: Mm-hmm. 5 Bereki: So I appealed it. And the appellate court said, "No, 6 you're not being punished. This isn't a fine." I 7 said, "Well, what in the hell is it?" And they said -8 9 Matt: Mm. 10 -- "It's an equitable remedy called disgorgement." Bereki: 11 Well --12 Matt: Okay. -- um, the United States Supreme Court in 2020 ex- --13 Bereki: 14 explained what disgorgement is, and it's basically, 15 uh, an action to recover profits for illegal 16 wrongdoing. 17 Matt: Okay. 18 It's not the forfeiture of an entire transaction, like Bereki: 19 what the court did to me. 20 Matt: Okay. So -- so let me give you another, just kind of a 21 Bereki: 22 little bit more on what the court did. 23 Matt: Okay. 24 Bereki: So they -- assume that like you hired me to build you 25 a million-dollar home and you paid me a million



1		dollars, and I went out and bought all the materials,
2		and all that stuff, and I hired the people, and I
3		built you the million-dollar house, but then you bring
4		an action and say, oh, you know, this guy wasn't
5		licensed. Yeah, I got my house, yeah, the work's
6		fine, but, um, he wasn't licensed. And so the court
7		made me give back the million dollars, on top of the
8		million dollars that I'd already given back to them in
9		work.
10	Matt:	Mm, gotcha. Which you had already paid out to to
11		the subcontractors, like that kind of stuff?
12		Materials, that kind of thing?
13	Bereki:	E exactly.
14	Matt:	So you're sort of like in a you're in like a
15		like a you're payin' two directions, basically.
16	Bereki:	Exactly, yes.
17	Matt:	Okay.
18	Bereki:	So the courts in these cases, they're not taking into
19		account the value that's already been returned.
20	Matt:	Mm.
21	Bereki:	I mean, this is like simple logic. But they're
22		this has been going on in California for over a
23		hundred years.
24	Matt:	Mm, okay.
25	Bereki:	So, um, after the Court of Appeal refused to overturn

1		the judgment, I went to the California Supreme Court,
2		and they refused to hear my case. Um, my argument is
3		they had a duty now ordinarily, the the Supreme
4		Court of California does not have to hear your case.
5		Th
6	Matt:	Right, general discretionary. Yeah.
7	Bereki:	Exactly, but that rule becomes mandatory if you if
8		you were not given a full, fair, and impartial hearing
9		and appeal.
10	Matt:	Okay.
11	Bereki:	Otherwise there's no court in California to go to.
12	Matt:	Mm-hmm.
13	Bereki:	So, um, that's my stance on that. So because I had no
14		remedy in California, I appealed it I did a
15		Certiorari Petition to the U.S. Supreme Court. They
16		refused to hear the case.
17	Matt:	Mm.
18	Bereki:	So now I have no state or federal court to go to. So
19		then I filed a complaint in the U.S. District Court,
20		an independent action in equity to vacate the state
21		court's void judgment
22	Matt:	Okay.
23	Bereki:	because it violated the Constitution, and they
24		claim they didn't have jurisdiction.
25	Matt:	Hm. Okay, w just because they didn't have federal



jurisdiction? Was that the issue (inaudible -1 2 00:04:33)? 3 Bereki: Yes. 4 Matt: -- (inaudible - 00:04:36)? 5 Bereki: Yes, what they basically said was that I was appealing 6 the state judgment. But -- but that's not true. Τf 7 the judgment's va- -- it's just to vacate a void judgment, and that's a ministerial act, it's not an 8 9 appeal, and there's plenty of case law on that. So, 10 yeah, I'm not sure if you're familiar with the Rooker-11 Feldman Doctrine, but they threw that at me and said, 12 "We don't have jurisdiction to do this." And so that's a lie. So they did have jurisdiction. 13 14 appealed that to the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit 15 threw my case out as frivolous. 16 Matt: Mm. 17 Bereki: So then, um, I -- while all of this was going on, I 18 made a complaint to Cottie Petrie-Norris. 19 Matt: Okay. 20 Um, and her office said that they were going to Bereki: 21 investigate and get back to me within two weeks, and 22 they've never responded since. 23 Matt: Okay. 24 Bereki: I have reached out to them multiple times, and they've 25 stopped responding.



1 Matt: Hm, okay. 2 Bereki: Um, I made a complaint to Senator Moorlach's office. Um, what I called a Petition for Redress of Grievance 3 4 at the time, and, um, they flat out re- -- they said, 5 "Well, your redress petition is simply to make a complaint, we don't have to investigate it." I said, 6 7 "Well, what good does that do if the government doesn't have to take action based upon your complaint 8 9 and just say, 'Oh well, you had the right to make a 10 complaint. You made the complaint, and we're choosing not to do anything about it." So --11 12 Matt: Mm-hmm. -- that's what he did, and shut it down. 13 Bereki: 14 By the way, uh, back -- back me up on -- on the -- so, Matt: 15 you know, um, the -- back me up on the complaint that 16 you submitted over at Moorlach's office. I'm just 17 tryin' to get a sense of -- 'cause like, you know, 18 we're that office right now. 19 Bereki: Okay 20 Matt: Um, I -- you know, 'cause that was -- that was the 2.1 previous -- um, that was the previous holder, so --22 you know, and then -- then my boss had an election, 23 and then my boss won. 24 Bereki: Okay. 25 Matt: But, um, what, uh, the process on it, 'cause I don't



1		think we've ever seen one of those. So like what did
2		what did you submit over to them? Or like how did
3		they (inaudible - 00:06:23).
4	Bereki:	So so basically basically, what I was relying
5		upon, and I'm it's essentially the same thing I'm
6		doing right now, but it's just the legal terminology
7		for it. Under the California Constitution, I don't
8		have the Article in front of me, I can get it for you.
9	Matt:	Okay
10	Bereki:	But it's it's very similar under, uh, the First
11		Amendment of the U.S. Constitution.
12	Matt:	Mm-hmm.
13	Bereki:	And it they they both guarantee the right to
14		petition any branch of government for redress of
15		grievance.
16	Matt:	Mm-hmm.
17	Bereki:	It's basically the right to make a complaint to the
18		government and have your complaint fully, fairly, and
19		impartially adjudicated by that branch of government,
20		right?
21	Matt:	Yeah.
22	Bereki:	Because all the branches are to serve as checks and
23		balances for the other branches. So if if one of
24		the branches such as the the Judicial Branch is out
25		of line, the Legislature or the Executive, or both



have powers to -- to act as a check and balance on 1 2 that branch to bring it within it's constitutionally 3 mandated duty. 4 Matt: Mm-hmm. 5 Bereki: Um, that was the whole system of checks and balances 6 that were set up. So --7 Gotcha. Matt: -- essentially, if you can't get relief in one branch, 8 Bereki: 9 you can go to another. 10 Matt: Okay. 11 And that's also why the -- the federal courts and Bereki: 12 federal government were set up as a check and balance on the states. 13 14 Matt: Sure. Um, so, anyways, um, I went to -- I, uh, complained to 15 Bereki: 16 Moorlach's office, uh, just as I had done with Cottie 17 Petrie-Norris' office, and, um, their -- his Chief of Staff, uh, said, "Well, you've made the complaint, and 18 19 we're rejecting it, and we're not going to investigate it." 20 21 Matt: Hm. 22 So, um, I made a complaint to the California Governor Bereki: 23 and the Attorney General. 24 Matt: Okay. 25 Bereki: About three complaints to them. They have refused to



investigate all of them. I -- I did submit a Public 1 2 Records Request to them to get the documents 3 evidencing their investigation, and -- and they have 4 none. 5 Matt: Okay. 6 Bereki: Um, so I made a complaint to, um -- I made a crime 7 report and a Petition for Redress of Grievance with 8 five police departments in my area who have 9 jurisdiction over this issue. 10 Matt: Okay. 11 Bereki: And all five of them, including the County Sheriff, 12 refused to -- actually it might be four and the County Sheriff --13 14 Matt: Mm. 15 Bereki: -- refused to, um, fully, fairly, and impartially 16 investigate my complaint or to intervene to stop the 17 illegal behavior. 18 Gotcha. Matt: 19 Bereki: Um, because essentially what's happening is they're 20 attempting to seize, um, almost a million dollars 21 unlawfully. 22 Matt: Mm. Okay. 23 Bereki: And that's my property. 24 Matt: And -- and the complaint on this specifically is that 25 like the, um, the -- the -- the court decision was



```
1
               just -- was incorrect but --
 2
    Bereki:
               Well, uh, it's noth- --
 3
               -- they're like tryin' to act on that, you know,
    Matt:
 4
               actually like --
 5
    Bereki:
               No. It -- it goes way beyond that.
 6
    Matt:
              Okay.
 7
    Bereki:
               It goes way beyond that.
 8
    Matt:
               I'm tryin' to put it, you know, in like very general
9
               terms. But yeah, okay, uh, give me the, um --
10
    Bereki:
               Okay.
11
               -- give me the specifics on it.
    Matt:
12
    Bereki:
               So, the -- the why -- wa- -- the wh- -- how it goes
13
               way beyond that is this. Okay, the statute that I'm
14
               talking about is called Business and Professions Code
15
               7031(b).
16
    Matt:
              Okay.
17
    Bereki:
              And basically, what it says is that a homeowner who
               uses the services of a -- of an unlicensed contractor
18
19
               can bring a -- an action in any court of competent
20
               jurisdiction to recover all compensation paid.
21
    Matt:
               Mm-hmm.
22
              Okay. So, 7031(b) doesn't exact -- doesn't make i- --
    Bereki:
23
               unlicensed contracting illegal. It --
24
    Matt:
               Okay.
25
               -- borrows that unlawfulness of unlicensed contracting
    Bereki:
```



from 7028, which makes it a misdemeanor. And -- and 1 2 you can get, uh, up to a \$5,000 fine and six months in 3 county jail --Matt: Gotcha. 4 5 Bereki: -- for -- for violating that, okay? 6 Matt: Right. 7 Bereki: So, my beef is this. If you go to California Penal Code Section 15, uh, it defines a crime or a public 8 9 offense as an act, uh, or omission o- -- of -- of law, 10 um, against law committed -- wait, I'm -- I need to look it up for you. 11 12 Matt: Oh yeah, no worries. But the -- the long -- the long story to us is if you 13 Bereki: 14 violate -- if you commit a public offense or a crime in violation of a law forbidding or commanding you to 15 16 do something, and the punishment for that is a fine, 17 or a death, or imprisonment, it's a crime or a public 18 offense. Okay? So in order -- like I'm sure you 19 understand that only, um, the Executive of California, like through the District Attorney's Office and things 20 21 like that, or the Attorney General, can prosecute 22 public offenses. Sure. 23 Matt: 24 Bereki: Like -- like I can't go and say that you're driving 25 without a license and commence a -- a prosecution in



```
criminal court --
1
 2
    Matt:
              Mm-hmm, right.
 3
               -- against you. That's not the way the separation of
    Bereki:
 4
              powers work.
 5
              No, e- -- even -- even as a state senator, you can't
    Matt:
 6
               do that. I mean, we -- we can't even compel testimony
 7
               at a hearing, so.
 8
    Bereki:
              Right, right, right. So -- so now what has happened
9
               is the legislature has unlawfully vested the, uh,
10
               Executive power into private parties to commence what
11
               appear to be civil -- civil, um, cases on the surface,
12
               but what are really criminal prosecutions in disguise.
13
               Because --
14
               Oh, gotcha. Because it -- because it's -- because
    Matt:
15
               like, uh -- uh, 7028, uh, classifies that as a
16
              misdemeanor.
17
    Bereki:
               Yes.
18
               (Inaudible - 00:11:27).
    Matt:
19
    Bereki:
               Yes.
20
    Matt:
               (Inaudible - 00:11:29).
21
    Bereki:
               It's -- it's -- you're --
22
               I saw where you're goin' there.
    Matt:
23
    Bereki:
               You're -- you're -- you're -- you're taking the -- the
24
               -- the misdemeanor offense, the same elements of the
25
               offense, moving it over to a civil action, and that's
```



fine, because there are many things that you can do that are, you know, crimes and also civil cases. But the issue that it comes down to is what's the civil remedy?

Matt: Mm.

Bereki: Are you getting -- are you getting damages? Or are you getting some type of equitable remedy? And here, there is no damages or equi--- equitable remedy, it's a straight-up penal forfeiture.

Matt: Mm-hmm.

Bereki:

Where they're not taking into account, as you recognized earlier, the -- the benefits that have been conferred upon the other party, right? Um, so the straight-up forfeiture, like no joke, probably the most egregious case I've seen of this is the Judicial Council of California hired this company to, um, maintain the California court buildings. And this company went, um, into a -- a -- a corporate reorganization during the contract, and their new company didn't hold a license for a period of a couple of months. And so the J- -- Judicial Council brought a lawsuit against this company, seeking to have all \$26 million that they had paid under the contract returned to them.

Matt: (Inaudible - 00:12:46).



Even though they alleged that the company had done a 1 Bereki: 2 good job and there was no wrongdoing. 3 Matt: Hm. 4 Bereki: So, the courts are ext- -- egregiously -- I mean, this 5 is -- the -- the simple way to put it is treason. 6 Matt: Mm. 7 Bereki: And there have been many people -- I'm not It is bad. 8 the first. I'm -- I haven't finished telling you my 9 story of what's going on here yet. 10 Matt: Okay. 11 Bereki: But there have been -- I know of another man who, um, 12 he was forced into bankruptcy and lost his home 13 because the judgment against him was a million 14 dollars. He did the work, and there was no problem 15 with the work, and he actually had a license in his 16 own name, but he did it in his company name, and his 17 company wasn't licensed. So --18 Matt: Mm. 19 Bereki: -- technically under the law, the state had already 20 determined that he was competent, but because it 2.1 wasn't done in his company's name, he was fined almost 22 a million dollars, and, you know, ultimately forced 23 into bankruptcy and lost his home. 24 Matt: Gotcha. 25 Um, another man, um, his company erected all of the Bereki:



steel for the Disneyland Hotel and they -- he had an 1 2 ornamental license and not a structural steel license, 3 and so they refused to pay him like \$1.2 million for 4 all of his work. 5 Matt: Okay. 6 Bereki: And the same judge in my case upheld it. 7 Matt: Hm. Um, so this is -- these types of -- this case has been 8 Bereki: 9 to the California Supreme Court over four times, and, 10 um, they keep upholding it. And it's so egregiously 11 unlawful. Um, so going back to my story --12 Matt: Yeah. -- I -- I've been to the Executive Branch, I've been 13 Bereki: 14 to the Judicial Branch, I've been to the Legislative 15 I've been to the Judicial Branch in the 16 United States, and I also went to the FBI and the DOJ 17 in the United States, and I have no remedy. 18 Matt: Right. 19 Bereki: There's no court, no legislator, no nothing. 20 what's happened now is as a result of my license being 2.1 suspended, I have not been able to work, and I've 22 tried to defend myself to get my license back and to 23 challenge the -- the constitutionality of all this, 24 and what has happened is I believe that people have 25 realized that I've uncovered what's really going on



with this, and they're trying to sweep it under the 1 2 rug. 3 Matt: Mm. 4 Bereki: Um, and not address it. And so, um -- because it's 5 just going to expose really heinous, egregious 6 criminal behavior on the part of judges and other 7 people in California, um, government. 8 Matt: Mm. 9 Um, so what has happened now is that, um, I've been Bereki: 10 forced into bankruptcy because now one of the -- the -11 - the, uh, one of the lenders on my home has -- is --12 uh, has started foreclosure proceedings. 13 Matt: Okay. 14 So, I -- because I could not get a remedy, and I could Bereki: not pay them, I wound up in bankruptcy. Well, I'm in 15 16 bankruptcy now, and I thought, oh, maybe, you know, 17 I'll have a chance here and the judge will hear me. 18 No. The judge refuses to acknowledge what is going 19 on, and literally just gave the -- the mortgage 20 company, um, means to, uh -- uh, granted their relief 21 from the state to commence foreclosure proceedings. 22 Um, so I'm at the point where there's gonna be a use 23 of force involved if someone comes to my house to 24 steal the property without lawful authority. 25 Matt: Mm.



Um, and I -- you know, I do not want that to happen, 1 Bereki: 2 but I have a right to defend myself and my property 3 against unlawful taking and seizure. Um, and, you 4 know, there just is -- there is no remedy. So --5 Matt: Gotcha. 6 Bereki: -- I need someone to get on the bandwagon and get 7 emergency relief. I -- because it's getting down to 8 the point, I mean, th- -- yeah, what -- what am I 9 supposed to do? 10 Gotcha. So yeah, and I guess, so what's, uh -- I, Matt: 11 what's the -- what's the ask for our office, or like 12 how -- how could we help? What do you see as kinda like a good, you know -- what -- what would be a good 13 14 action that -- that we could take for you in this 15 situation? 16 Bereki: Well, um, I know that you, uh -- I don't know how your 17 process works in the actual legislature, but you can -18 - you could submit a claim like this and bring it --19 submit it to the floor of the -- the assembly and tell 20 them what's going on, and say that, you know, 21 emergency action needs to be taken on this because 22 this is what is going on. The -- people are being 23 financially destroyed by this statute, and we either 24 need to, um, uh, remove it immediately, or, um -- and 25 -- and commence an investigation into what has been



1 going on, and, um, that's one thing. Um, another --2 Matt: Okay, and -- and the specific statute on that, is --3 is that Business and Professions, the 7031? Is that? 4 Bereki: Yes. 5 Matt: Is that the one we should look at? 6 Bereki: Yes. 7 Matt: Okay. 8 Bereki: Yes, absolutely. And -- and, um, I -- I would -- I'll 9 -- if you go to -- um, I'll give you my website. 10 Matt: Okay. 11 Um, it's thespiritoflaw.com. Bereki: 12 Matt: Okay. 13 All one word. Bereki: 14 Matt: Okay. 15 Bereki: Um, and you look at that. You will see, uh, my 16 Petition for -- my Emergency Petition, uh, for Habeas 17 Corpus to the U.S. Supreme Court that they promptly 18 just denied as well. 19 Matt: Mm. 20 Bereki: Um, and you can read that. And, um, there's a 21 procedural history up at the top. There's exhibits. 22 Um, I have the recordings of all of the conversations 23 with all of the, uh, police departments, all of the 24 documents. 25 Matt: Okay.



Everything. It's all there on line. The only thing 1 Bereki: 2 that's not is the bankruptcy proceedings --3 Matt: Gotcha. 4 -- so far. Um --Bereki: 5 Matt: Okay, then that gives us a place to kinda look to see 6 really what -- what's goin' on, and then use your 7 example as -- as -- as somethin' that we could like 8 maybe look for like a legislative solution on then? 9 Bereki: Yes. Yes. And -- and something fast, um, because, I 10 mean, it -- yeah, if they commence foreclosure 11 proceedings and the sheriff shows up here, the house 12 is gone, and, yeah, there's -- there's problems. Mm-hmm. 13 Matt: 14 And -- and this is not to mention, you know, that I Bereki: 15 have serious claims for, um, damages and all of that 16 that's been going on this whole time. I mean, I've 17 been having panic attacks, anxiety attacks. 18 Matt: Yeah, no, I understand how this could be fairly --19 fairly stressful. 20 Bereki: Um, and yeah, there's no one -- there's no -- all 2.1 these people that are in government that are supposed 22 to help, and -- and it's not even -- I can't just go 23 and say, "Oh, it's some -- some side. It's the 24 Democrats, it's the Republicans." No, it's everybody. 25 Matt: Mm.



```
1
    Bereki:
              Um, and, uh, yeah. I -- I mean, um, yeah. So if you,
 2
               um, you can -- you can go -- on the -- on the home
 3
              page --
 4
    Matt:
              Mm-hmm.
 5
    Bereki:
               -- if you click on the -- the image of the, uh,
 6
               Supreme Court Petition, you'll be able to download it
 7
               there.
 8
    Matt:
               Okay.
9
               Um, and that will, um, uh -- I can give you the Case
    Bereki:
10
               Number too, it's 22A426.
11
               Twenty-two A -- could you -- you -- so sorry, 22A, and
    Matt:
12
               then what was the numbers? The last ones?
               Four -- four twenty-six.
13
    Bereki:
14
    Matt:
               Four twenty-six, okay.
15
    Bereki:
               Yeah, it's on there -- it's on their "shadow docket."
16
    Matt:
               Okay.
17
    Bereki:
              Um, uh, and then, yeah, if you go to the Exhibits, I
18
               mean, not that you'd wanna go this deep, um --
19
    Matt:
              That -- but that's where --
20
    Bereki:
               -- you'll --
21
               -- that information would be then.
    Matt:
22
               Um, no, that's -- that's -- the -- the, um, the actual
    Bereki:
23
               petition is on the home page.
```



But there's another page called "Exhibits," and if you

Okay.

24

25

Matt:

Bereki:

go there, um, you -- you -- the first exhibit is the 1 2 procedural history, which is, um, all of the com- --3 uh -- uh, wait, what is that? Oh no, the procedural 4 history is all of my actions in all the courts. 5 also have a certified copy of the legislative history 6 of 7031(b), that's Exhibit B. 7 Matt: Mm-hmm. Um, if you go down to Exhibit D, it's all the 8 Bereki: 9 complaints and government tort claims I've made. Τf 10 you go to Exhibit E there's, um, audible exhibits, 11 which are all of the, uh, complaints to the, um, to 12 the courts, to California Assemblywoman Cottie Petrie-Norris, to the, um, uh, the guy from -- for John 13 14 Moorlach. His Chief of Staff, Lance Christensen, 15 that's Exhibit 10. 16 Matt: Mm-hmm. 17 Bereki: Um, you'll see all of the audio exhibits there. Mm-hmm. 18 Matt: 19 Bereki: Um, I'm in the process of uploading the transcripts 20 for each of them. Um, and, uh, yeah, it's -- it's --21 it's all there. 22 Matt: Okay. And --23 Bereki: Um --24 Matt: -- well, Adam, I wanna take a look at it. I wanna be 25 kind of upfront about what the -- the calendar is for



the -- for legislative offices, too. Like, you know, um, we can introduce bills in January, and then, they work through their process, and then we get a chance to reintroduce it like next year in January. Um, so that's kind of -- that's sort of the speed that -- Cal Leg this works on. Um, so if -- if I could -you know, if we could take this up or like take a look at like adjusting 7031, specifically for your situation where it seems like, you know, you -- you were stuck payin' out money that you didn't have because you actually used it to deliver a product to the person. Um, it -- it would -- it would be like a year cycle. It would be like a year-plus cycle. Bereki: Okay, so is there anything that you're aware of that there's some other type of, um, investigation or complaint process that -- there has to be something for some type of emergency situation like this. Otherwise --Matt: Yeah. Bereki: -- you know, you're kind of -- the whole check and balance thing is a joke. Well, I mean, my case simply proves that there are no checks and balances, but, um, yeah, you -- you're -- the whole power of the legislature would essentially be rendered inept in something like this.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



1	Matt:	Right, well, and I think yeah, I mean, I am not
2		personally aware of it, but that doesn't mean that it
3		exists, um, so I wanna be upfront about that also.
4		Um, I've not seen us work on any action like that
5		before. Um, just I I'm not aware of any. I
6		just wanna be upfront about that.
7	Bereki:	Mm-hmm.
8	Matt:	But that doesn't mean that it's not there, so I can
9		kick it over to our legislative staff to have them
10		take a look at it and see if they can think of
11		somethin'.
12	Bereki:	Okay. Yeah, I did I'm tryin' to remember, I think
13		his name is Adam Silver.
14	Matt:	Okay.
15	Bereki:	Does that g does that name ring a bell?
16	Matt:	Uh, it only rings a bell is that the NBA's guy?
17		Um, so that that's the bell that rings for me, so
18		it's probably not who you're thinkin' of.
19	Bereki:	Uh, he he's someone that works in in the
20		legislative department up there. I think he's even an
21		attorney.
22	Matt:	Okay.
23	Bereki:	Um, I got ahold of him once, um, because, uh, Petrie-
24		Norris' office wasn't responding.
25	Matt:	Okay.



Um, and so he put me in touch with her Chief of Staff, 1 Bereki: 2 Claire Conlon. 3 Matt: Okay. 4 Bereki: And, um, I -- he was somebody that -- they basically 5 s- -- the other -- the oth- -- uh, Cottie's office told me that they were going to submit it to some --6 7 some office at the legi- -- some legislative review office to see if, you know, to basically investigate 8 9 the validity of what I was saying. 10 Okay, gotcha. Matt: 11 Bereki: Um, -- um, but the other thing is, is that I don't know how it works with, um, your guys' clear line 12 right to the Governor's Office or the Attorney 13 14 General's Office, but, um, you know, that would be 15 another thing. Because the other option is for 16 somebody to, um, uh, make an order for, uh, one of 17 these police departments to, uh, conduct an investigation, um, because they have executive 18 19 authority to conduct an investigation where you might not. Um --20 21 Matt: Right. 22 -- but, and so if that could come from, you know, I Bereki: 23 don't know -- again, I don't know what the authority 24 of -- of, uh, one department would be order another 25 department to do its duty. I don't even think that



```
1
              that's possible maybe.
 2
    Matt:
              Yeah.
 3
              Um, but there has to be something. There has to be
    Bereki:
 4
              some remedy. Um, and there has to be some process,
 5
              um, to affect a remedy in -- in a situation like this.
 6
    Matt:
              Mm.
 7
    Bereki:
              Um, so I mean, I -- I can turn it over to you and --
              and you do whatever you can do with it. And -- and
 8
9
              please, if you would, I just ask that you keep me
10
              posted.
11
    Matt:
              Okay.
              Because, you know, um, yeah, my -- whatever decisions
12
    Bereki:
13
              I make, I -- I am continuing to go through the court
14
              process.
15
    Matt:
              Mm-hmm.
16
    Bereki:
              But, um, you know, I -- th- -- there is -- I mean, you
17
              can look on my website and see that I've -- I've --
18
    Matt:
              You- -- you've -- you've definitely like gone through
19
              that process as much as you could. (Inaudible -
20
              00:25:19).
21
    Bereki:
              I -- I -- I've more than extraordinarily --
22
    Matt:
              Right.
23
    Bereki:
              -- done everything I can to affect a change and to
24
              call this to a people's attention, but I -- I am -- I
25
              am convinced that it has gotten so big and out of
```



control with what the courts have done based upon this 1 2 -- this statute --3 Matt: Mm-hmm. 4 Bereki: -- um, that they're just -- they just keep covering it 5 up. 6 Matt: Yeah. 7 Bereki: Um, because at this point when I made my last 8 complaint, and you - -- as you -- if you'll read the 9 complaint that, um, I sent to the Supreme Court --10 Matt: Mm. 11 I -- I alleged that they committing treason, because Bereki: 12 they are. 13 Matt: Hm. 14 They're exercising jurisdiction that they don't have. Bereki: And no one in their right mind is gonna agree with a 15 16 near million-dollar fine for not having a business 17 license. I mean, that's just reprehensible. 18 Matt: Yeah. 19 Bereki: Um. 20 Matt: No, I hear -- I hear where you're -- I hear where 21 you're coming from on that one. Um, okay, well Adam, 22 let -- let me see if I can -- let me see what options 23 we have available for us. My understanding (inaudible 24 - 00:26:16) so far is that, um, that we are limited --25 limited to legislative matters, and there's some rules



about state (inaudible - 00:26:22), which keeps us out 1 2 of, uh, dealing with, uh, um, court cases. But, um, but let me see if there's -- if there's some -- if 3 4 there's some lever that I'm unaware of, and then I 5 will -- I will call you back. I'll -- I'll try to get 6 back to you within the week to, um, to get an answer 7 from that, okay? Okay. And I would just look into, um, that mechanism. 8 Bereki: 9 It doesn't seem like -- you know how some -- I don't 10 know if you're familiar with this, but there are some 11 things that were created in the formation of the 12 Constitutions that kind of just lay dormant. Okay. 13 Matt: 14 Um, and one of those things that I don't think many Bereki: 15 people know about is the Petition for Redress of 16 Grievance. 17 Matt: Mm. 18 And let me see if I can, um, pull it up here right Bereki: 19 Um, California Law, Constitution, and I'm 20 lookin' for, uh, grievance. Um, "The people have the 2.1 right to instruct their representatives, petition 22 government for redress of grievances, and assemble 23 freely to consult for the common good." Article 1, Section 3. 24 25 Matt: Okay.



Um, and as my research, I have a California Supreme 1 Bereki: 2 Court case saying that basically you can petition any 3 branch of government for redress of grievance. 4 Matt: Mm. 5 Bereki: Meaning you co- -- make -- make a complaint about 6 anything to any branch of government, and it is their 7 duty, and my interpretation, that they have to conduct an investigation, a full, fair, and impartial 8 9 investigation, and if they determine that there's been 10 a violation of the Constitution or statute, they have 11 to do something to remedy it. Um, so that is the --12 that is the route I would go. But, you know, in my experience talking with Cottie and her staff, and 13 14 Moorlach and his staff, the don't have a clue what a 15 redress petition is. Um -- um --16 Matt: Right. Right, it- -- it's somethin' that I can just 17 say as staff, well it's somethin' that we have not been trained on. Now, I mean, obviously people 18 19 contact us all the time. We -- we help with like, you 20 know, issues they might have with a state agency, like 21 that kind of thing. 22 Bereki: Uh-huh. 23 Um, but I -- but right, as far as like seeing a formal Matt: 24 petition that came through or anything like that, it's 25 just not somethin' that -- that's come across my desk



1		at this point.
2	Bereki:	Okay. Um, yes. So essentially what a redress
3		petition is, is a complaint. Um
4	Matt:	Oh yeah. No, I totally I totally get that point.
5		I'm just saying the actual mechanism of it is
6		somethin' I haven't seen before.
7	Bereki:	Yeah, yeah, yeah.
8	Matt:	But I I get where you're comin' from, right. And I
9		understand like the constitutional (inaudible -
10		00:28:45) for that.
11	Bereki:	Yeah, yeah, absolutely. Absolutely. And it has to be
12		a part of the checks and balances, otherwise, you
13		know, defeats the whole purpose.
14	Matt:	Gotcha.
15	Bereki:	Um, but I have another complaint to make. Do you
16		have, um, so
17	Matt:	Okay. Yeah, go for it.
18	Bereki:	So the other one is that, uh, the contractor's state
19		license board has erected its own mandatory
20		arbitration program without legislative authority.
21	Matt:	Okay.
22	Bereki:	Um, and so far, I I wanna say that they've
23		conducted close to 10,000 cases.
24	Matt:	Okay.
25	Bereki:	Without any statutory authority. So if you
	I	



1 Matt: Okay. 2 Bereki: -- go to, um, do -- can you pull up the California 3 Legislative Information website? 4 Matt: Uh, sure. Yeah. 5 Bereki: Okay. Um, let me know when you're there. 6 Okay, well I -- we have like a -- we have a couple of Matt: 7 programs that can get to that. So, um, what, uh, what 8 do you want me to look up? 9 Bereki: Um, 7085 of the Business and Professions Code. 10 Matt: Okay. Business and Professions Code, 7085. Okay. 11 Okay, let me know when you're there. Bereki: Yeah, yeah. 12 Matt: Okay. So basically --13 Bereki: 14 But no, I'm al- -- I'm almost there. Matt: I'm -- I'm --15 I'm in- -- into the, uh, the Table of Contents right 16 now, 7085, correct? 17 Bereki: Yeah. 18 Okay. Got it. Okay, arbitration. Matt: 19 Bereki: Yeah, so basically it says that if you make a 20 complaint to the registrar, and after he compla- --21 you know, investigates the complaint, it says, "The 22 registrar may, with the concurrence of both the 23 licensee and the -- and the complainant, refer the 24 alleged violation, um, to, um, arbitration." 25 Matt: Okay.



1 Not- -- carefully noting that it says, "With the Bereki: 2 concurrence of both the licensee and the complainant." 3 Matt: Correct. That means that it's voluntary, right? Because you're 4 Bereki: 5 waiving your right to trial but jury and judicial 6 process. 7 Matt: Okay. 8 Bereki: I mean, that was one of the reasons why the American 9 Revolution happened, was admiralty jurisdiction, no 10 jury. Um --11 I gotcha. Matt: 12 Bereki: So, uh, what they've done is they've taken the statute 13 that initially conferred jurisdiction to commence 14 voluntary arbitration, and --15 Matt: Right, and it's mandatory, I guess? 16 Bereki: They have both a mandatory and a voluntary arbitration 17 program. Yes. 18 Matt: Okay. 19 Bereki: And they are compelling people, forcing them into 20 mandatory arbitration. And even in my case, they 21 conducted an arbitration proceeding without even 22 notifying me. 23 Matt: Hm, okay. 24 Bereki: And -- and so then, um, so it involved another 25 construction business that I had. So there was a



complaint that was made after that company went out of 1 2 business, and so they sent the complaint to the 3 address of the company that went out of business, but 4 never sent the complaint to me, um -- um, as the 5 qualifying individual for the license. 6 Matt: Okay. Did that r- -- did -- that didn't raise any 7 like due process concerns, or? 8 Bereki: Oh, that's some major due process concerns. 9 Matt: Okay. 10 Now, when you go in -- and I tried to -- I can give Bereki: 11 you all the -- the, um -- if you go on my website 12 under Exhibits, there's exhibit there all for arbitration, because I've reached out to the license -13 14 - the contractor's state licensing board, and those 15 people, um, the -- the registrar, says, "I have no 16 power to do anything about it." And if you look up --17 it is some new, uh, constitutional amendment that has 18 happened, that basically they have taken the power 19 away from administrative officials to challenge the 20 constitutionality of the actions that they're taking. 21 Matt: Okay. 22 Um -- um, and so now, these people are essentially Bereki: 23 rendered helpless, which is -- is ridiculous, because 24 here's a man that's in charge of this administrative 25 agency who apparently has the authority to suspend



someone's license, but once the suspension has 1 2 happened, he can't revoke it, or -- or -- or, um, 3 correct it, according to him. 4 Matt: Mm. 5 Bereki: Um, which is absolutely ridiculous, because this whole 6 -- the whole mandatory arbitration proceeding is a --7 is completely unlawful. They have no authority for it 8 whatsoever. 9 Matt: Mm. 10 Um, and if you read, yeah, if you read the statute, it Bereki: 11 does seem a little confusing. And at the end, um, 12 you'll notice that after it goes through all these things, it basically says you -- you have to have the 13 14 concurrence of the licensee and the complainant. 15 Matt: Gotcha. 16 Bereki: And -- and if they don't have it, they're still, um, 17 forcing people into mandatory arbitration. Um, let me just see real quick. Um, if you type in -- um, can 18 19 you go to your search bar for the internet? 20 Matt: Sure. 21 Bereki: Um, just type in "Mandatory Arbitration CSLB." 22 Matt: Okay. 23 Bereki: And you'll --24 Matt: Okay, got it. 25 -- you'll see the -- the first thing that should pop Bereki:



```
1
              up is the Mandatory Arbitration --
 2
    Matt:
              Yeah.
 3
              -- Guide.
    Bereki:
 4
    Matt:
               (Inaudible - 00:33:46), yep.
 5
    Bereki:
              Yeah. And that refers you -- the authority back to
 6
              7085.
 7
    Matt:
              Okay.
 8
    Bereki:
              And th- -- th- -- there is no mandatory arbitration in
9
              anything in government because it's il- -- it's --
10
              it's treason.
11
              Mm-hmm.
    Matt:
12
    Bereki:
              Can't do it.
13
    Matt:
              Yeah.
14
              And here they are. And I have, um, if you look on my
    Bereki:
              exhibits, there are -- um, I have Public Records Act
15
16
              requests from them. I -- I think it's close to 10,000
17
                       I could be wrong. I could be way wrong on
              cases.
18
              that. Um --
19
    Matt:
              Gotcha, but a significant amount, I guess.
20
    Bereki:
              Yes, yes, yes.
21
    Matt:
               (Inaudible - 00:34:18)
22
              Yes, yes. And I did report it to the -- to the
    Bereki:
23
              registrar directly, um, D- -- D- -- Dan Fote (ph) or
24
              David Fote (ph), or something like that. And I
25
              brought this to his attention, and we were going back
```



and forth on email, and the moment I -- I said, "Wait 1 2 a minute, you need to read the whole statute," he's never written back since. 3 4 Matt: Mm. 5 Bereki: Um, so they know what they're doing, and at this point 6 he should have stopped the mandatory arbitration 7 program and, um, gone to the legislature or done something. Um, but they're not. They -- it -- it's 8 9 just -- it's just going, it's ongoing. 10 Matt: Gotcha. 11 Bereki: They're still doing it. 12 Matt: Okay, well let me find that too. Um, we are not 13 Offices of Professions here, um, the committee that 14 would probably run through. But, I will -- I will 15 find that office just to let them look into this to 16 see if it's somethin' we should take a look at, or we 17 should try to take. Bereki: 18 Yeah. 19 Matt: Okay, got it. I got some notes here. Um, Adam, I 20 appreciate that. I will, um, I will get back to you, 21 um, specifically, uh, with the, uh, the peti- -- the 22 answer on the petition and see kind of like what --23 just what the process is for that. And then, um, also 24 I'll also flag, uh, um, the Mandatory Arbitration. 25 Yeah, so -- so just --Bereki:



(Inaudible - 00:35:37). 1 Matt: 2 Bereki: Thank you. Just to give you -- just one other little 3 point, is both of these -- these statutes are what is 4 suspending my license so that I can't work. 5 Matt: Gotcha. 6 Bereki: Uh, I'm not sure if those may have been discharged in 7 bankruptcy at this point, but they're -- they're still 8 on the -- the -- the record there, and I have not had 9 the opportunity to, um, send them a letter to notify 10 them of the discharge. But even if I could, I -- I can't work while I'm trying to defend myself in court. 11 12 Matt: Yeah. There's just I -- it -- it's just not possible, and I 13 Bereki: 14 cannot afford an attorney to do it for me, because, I 15 mean, this would've cost another million dollars. 16 Matt: Yeah, understood. 17 Bereki: What I've done. 18 Matt: Understood. 19 Bereki: Um, so -- oh, the other thing is that, um, as a 20 possible legislative, um, issue is that I know that 2.1 like -- I have an idea that like in congress, they can 22 just, um, pass some sort of bill, or something like 23 that, that compensates people for injuries. 24 Matt: Mm-hmm. 25 Um, and that is something that I would recommend, uh, Bereki:



1		as well. So they can conduct the the
2		legislature can can conduct an investigation, I can
3		submit to them my damages, my claim for damages, and,
4		um, we can come to a resolution on the issues, uh, of
5		that, um, ASAP.
	Makk	
6	Matt:	(Inaudible - 00:36:53).
7	Bereki:	And that would be another remedy that that I would
8		accept. Um, because I yeah, to keep going through
9		this broken court process is is not gonna cut it.
10	Matt:	Gotcha.
11	Bereki:	Um, yeah.
12	Matt:	Okay, well I appreciate that, Adam. Let me go do some
13		research for ya, um, and then and see what I can
14		find on my end, okay?
15	Bereki:	Okay, yeah. Just just to reiterate, that -
16		- that Supreme Court case on my website on the front
17		page
18	Matt:	Mm-hmm.
19	Bereki:	that's gonna lay it all out for you.
20	Matt:	Okay, I'll take I'll go take a look at it. And
21		that's, uh, thespiritoflaw.com, correct?
22	Bereki:	Yes, correct.
23	Matt:	Okay, got it. Okay, thank you, Adam.
24	Bereki:	Thank you.
25	Matt:	You have a good day, okay?



1		TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2		
3		I, DANEN MURRAY, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
4	FOREGOING	TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
5	STATEMENT	OF THE AUDIO FILE PROVIDED TO ME TITLED "E33- SENATOR
6	MIN".	
7		DATED this 25th day of June, 2025.
8		
9		Daven Murray
10		
11		Danen Murray
12		Ditto Transcripts
13		1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515
14		Denver, CO 80222 Tel: 720-287-3710
15		Fax: 720-952-9897
16		DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5
17		Tax ID #: 27-2983097
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		