Adam Bereki Conversation with Clerk Harris

Recording Name: [E32 US SUPREME COURT - CLERK SCOTT HARRIS

111521]

Transcript Prepared By:



720-287-3710 1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222

DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097

1 -- and it appears to me that, um, in order to file an Bereki: 2 original action, there is a 9000-count, or 9000-word count limit on the Motion for Leave to File, but there 3 4 isn't one for the, um, actual complaint, and so I 5 wanted to verify that. 6 Harris: Well what kind of original action are you thinking 7 about, uh, filing? The original action is a complaint against 16 state 8 Bereki: 9 and federal judges, and the reason that it's come 10 about is that I have gone through all of the state and 11 federal processes to get, um, uh -- uh, a remedy or relief, and there is none. And so the last, uh, 12 bastion of hope, if you will, is the Supreme Court. 13 14 And that is in- -- that includes having gone through the, um, appellate process of the Supreme Court as 15 16 well. 17 Harris: Well, so the original jurisdiction of the court is very limited. Um, you know, uh, virtually everything 18 19 that we do is through, um, a -- a served petition. 20 And, um, it -- the -- the primary way we hear original cases is when there's a suit -- suit between two 21 22 states, um, like one state's suing another state, like 23 alleging that they are taking too much water from a 24 river, or somethin' like that. Um, so we don't have 25 jurisdiction -- original jurisdiction, um, for, you

know, one private litigant suing another litigant. 1 2 Um, so, um, what I probably ought to do is put you in 3 charge with somebody who would end up processing that. 4 But I think it's unlikely that you would be able to 5 file something like that. 6 Bereki: So, I -- I appreciate you taking the time to explain 7 that. M- -- um, m- -- my research, I've concluded something different, and that's why I'm calling, is to 8 9 work with the court and find out, um, so that we don't 10 needlessly waste each other's time. Um --Harris: Mm-hmm. 11 12 Bereki: Um, as -- the case that I'm referencing is -- is Cohens v Virginia, and in there, uh, you know, one of 13 14 the most famous quotes of all times is, "Every person, 15 uh, having a claim upon his" -- the Constitution gave 16 every person having c- -- a claim upon a state the 17 right to submit his case to the court of the nation. 18 And, so I'm basing my -- the court's jurisdiction, not 19 on a statute, but on Article 3, Section 2 --20 Harris: Mm-hmm. 2.1 Bereki: -- which is that every, uh -- the court has 22 jurisdiction over all cases at law and equity. 23 Harris: Mm. 24 Bereki: So I'm bringing a case at law, and potentially equity 25 because there's injunctive relief.



Harris: 1 Mm. 2 Bereki: So I'm not going upon the jurisdiction of the court 3 based upon the parties, but upon the nature of the 4 So -case. 5 Harris: Mm-hmm. 6 Bereki: -- um --7 Harris: Well, let me just -- let me just pull up the, uh, I should've pulled this up ahead of time. But, um, we -8 9 - we would not accept a filing like that, and I'll --10 I'll t- -- we are bound by our, uh, both the Constitution and the statute dealing with original 11 12 jurisdiction. Uh --13 Bereki: So if I understand what you just said correctly, that 14 15 Harris: Mm-hmm. 16 Bereki: -- the statute overrules the Constitution? Is that 17 correct? 18 Harris: No, but the Const- -- the statute is consistent with 19 the Constitution, and if you look at Article 3 of the 20 Constitution, uh, oh, where's that? It says -- well, 21 um, yeah, so the statu- -- the -- I'm gonna find the 22 statute that deals with original jurisdiction. 23 statute is what allows us to exercise jurisdiction. 24 Um --25 That's what I'm confused about, because --Bereki:



Harris: 1 Mm. 2 Bereki: -- if I read Article 3, Section 2, it says right here, 3 "The judicial power shall extend to all cases in law 4 and equity arising under the Constitution." 5 Harris: Mm-hmm. 6 Bereki: And s- --7 Yeah, but see, we have, um, that is -- that outlines Harris: 8 what it is that the, um, that Congress can give us the 9 authority to do. But our discretion in our 10 jurisdiction is created by statute. And --11 jurisdiction -- maybe 1251. Just give me a second 12 here. I'm sorry I don't have this more at the tip of 13 my fingertips. 14 Bereki: That's okay. I understand, it's an unusual instance. 15 Harris: Mm-hmm. So the c- -- okay, it's 28 USC 1251. 16 Bereki: Okay. 17 Harris: Um, "The Supreme Court shall have original and 18 exclusive jurisdiction of all controversies between 19 two or more States. The Supreme Court shall have 20 original but not exclusive jurisdiction of, one, 21 actions or proceedings to which ambassadors, other 22 public ministers, consuls, or vice consuls of foreign 23 states are parties, two, all controversies between the 24 United States and a State, and three, all actions or 25 proceedings by a State against the citizens of another



1		State or against aliens." So that's the only, uh,
2		authorization that we have to file original actions.
3		So, um, uh
4	Bereki:	So this um, Scott, if I could interrupt you.
5	Harris:	Mm-hmm.
6	Bereki:	This is this is the jurisdiction pertaining to the
7		parties of the case. So
8	Harris:	Mm-hmm. It outlines our yeah, our jurisdiction for
9		original cases.
10	Bereki:	But but but only pertaining to the parties,
11		because if you see if the you see everything in
12		there is all about parties to a case.
13	Harris:	That's right.
14	Bereki:	If you, um, read Cohens, the case of Cohens versus
15		Virginia
16	Harris:	Mm-hmm.
17	Bereki:	the clear the court clearly distinguishes in
18		there that there are basically two types of orig
19		original jurisdiction, or two two different types
20		of cases to which the original jurisdiction extends.
21		One is to the type of case, which is, here it says in
22		Article 3, Section 2, "All cases in Law and Equity
23		arising under the Constitution, the Laws of the United
24		States, and Treaties," or, two, it is the the
25		Court's jurisdiction extends to the type of case based



upon the parties that are there. So, um, if you look 1 2 at 28 USD 1251, this is only basically putting into 3 statute form what the Constitution already says about 4 the parties to the case --5 Harris: Mm. 6 Bereki: -- not the actual type of the case. So, um, I'm not -7 Yeah, I hear you, but that's not the way we -- that's 8 Harris: 9 not the way our practice works. That's not the way 10 our jurisdiction works. We are -- we are bound by the 11 statute, in terms of jurisdiction. So you -- you can 12 submit something, but what I'm telling you is it's -it's, uh, it's not going to be accepted for filing 13 14 unless it falls within one of the, um, provisions of, 15 uh, 2- -- 28 USC 1251. So the -- the main reason I 16 say it is I don't want you to go to the trouble and 17 expense of submitting something if it's not gonna be 18 accepted. 19 Bereki: And I so appreciate that, Scott. 20 Harris: Yeah, yeah. 2.1 Bereki: Um, is there a way that you could share with me, 22 because, um, I -- what -- what I'm feeling right now 23 is that, um -- and -- and I don't mean this, um, with 24 any sort of, um, uh, ego or anything like that. But -25

1 Harris: Mm-hmm.

2 | Bereki: -- it's -- it's very clear to me that you're incorrect

about what you're saying. And I know that you're the

Clerk of Court, but --

Harris: Mm-hmm.

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

Bereki: -- how -- could you imagine the problem that if I

7 submitted this case and I had a right under the

8 Constitution to submit this case, and the Co- -- the

9 Clerk of the Court didn't know what the Constitution

specifically said and then dismissed my case on some

grounds that were essentially unlawful?

Harris: Mm-hmm.

Bereki: Do you see -- I'm not saying that a hundred percent

that that's the case, but what if that were to happen?

15 That -- that would be, I mean, just historical

monumentally. So h- -- w- -- would it be possible for

17 you to review, um, the Cohens case and for us to talk

about it? Because I -- there's -- there's clearly --

the Constitution says one thing as I'm interpreting

it, and what you're sharing with me is something

21 different. What you're sharing with me is that, uh,

22 essentially that the Constitution isn't what gives the

court jurisdiction, it's the statute, if -- if I'm

understanding you correctly. And, um, based upon what

you shared with me, that statute does not apply to the



2 that are in the case. Um --3 Well, look, um, I -- I'm just tellin' you the way we Harris: 4 would, uh, what we would do with it. I mean, if you -5 - you know, obviously there's a printing requirement for, uh, those -- for cases, unless you're presenting 6 it forma pauperis. Um, if you would, uh, you know, 7 want -- I -- you know, if you would want to show it to 8 9 me, um, before you get it printed, um, I can look at 10 it, but I'm -- but I'm -- but I -- again, I'm just --11 I know what the answer is gonna be, and it's not gonna 12 be accepted for filing. So I -- I just don't want --13 I just don't want to put you to the trouble, uh, or 14 expense of doing it. Okay, how -- how might then, if you could guide me to 15 Bereki: 16 do this, how might I get my case to a justice or to 17 the justices to challenge this question? Because, um, 18 this is not something that could be challenged in a 19 lower court. This is essentially the rules of the 20 Supreme Court that are -- or the rules as you're 21 sharing them with me, that, um, I need to challenge. 22 So, is it possible to submit my case to one of the 23 justices directly and have them decide on that issue, 24 or --25 No, we don't -- we don't do -- I mean, that's what the Harris:

actual type of case, it applies only to the parties

1

1		Clerk's Office is here for, right, is to determine
2		whether things can be filed. And, you know, this is
3		not new, right? Like we've had, um, many people that
4		have tried to file, uh, original actions and we've,
5		uh, done the same thing. Um, uh, you know, over and
6		over in the court. Um, so this is this is not
7		gonna be controversial, um, here. Uh, so, um
8	Bereki:	Well, i is is it the the Clerk's Office that
9		has actually denied these prior, um, uh, original
10		actions, or was it the justices? Did it did it
11		ever make it to the justices?
12	Harris:	You know, let me let me see if I have let me
13		can I call you I got your number. Let me call you
14		back and see if I can find any instances that are on
15		the record where it shows that that's not been, um,
16		permitted, and I'll give you a call back.
17	Bereki:	Oh, that would be wonderful, Scott. Thank you.
18	Harris:	Okay.
19	Bereki:	Thank you so much. I'd really appreciate that.
20	Harris:	Sure.
21	Bereki:	Look, I'm w I'm willing to admit Scott, if
22		if I'm wrong, I'm so willing to admit that. And
23		and I
24	Harris:	Mm-hmm.
25	Bereki:	I'm so grateful for your time. But, um, at the

```
1
               present time, um, yeah, it -- it -- it's not adding up
 2
               for me, so I -- I really appreciate the fact that
 3
               you're taking the time to -- to help me, and like I
               said --
 4
 5
    Harris:
               Okay, yeah. (Inaudible - 00:11:37).
 6
    Bereki:
               -- if it's not possible, I'll go away. Okay, buddy.
 7
               Thank you.
8
    Harris:
               All right, bye.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, DANEN MURRAY, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
4	FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT
5	STATEMENT OF THE AUDIO FILE PROVIDED TO ME TITLED "E32 US
6	SUPREME COURT- CLERK SCOTT HARRIS 111521".
7	DATED this 25th day of June, 2025.
8	
9	Dasen Murray
LO	
L1	Danen Murray
L2	Ditto Transcripts
L3	1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515
L4	Denver, CO 80222 Tel: 720-287-3710
L5	Fax: 720-952-9897
L6	DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5
L7	Tax ID #: 27-2983097
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	