Conversation with Claire Conlon

Recording Name: [E15 CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE – COMPLAINT TO CLAIRE CONLON 091720 OF ASSEMBLYWOMAN COTTIE PETRIE NORRIS' CHIEF OF STAFF.M4A]

Transcript Prepared By:



(720) 287-3710 1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222

DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097

Hello, this is Adam. 1 Bereki: Conlon: 2 (Inaudible - 00:00:10). 3 Bereki: Hello? 4 Conlon: Hi, can you hear me? (Inaudible - 00:00:14). 5 Bereki: Yeah. I can barely hear you. 6 Conlon: (Inaudible - 00:00:16) Petrie-Norris. 7 Hi, there. Thank you for calling. Bereki: 8 Conlon: Of course. So, tell me, uh, what's going on? 9 Bereki: Well, um, what -- what do you know already, I mean, 10 without going into a dissertation? Do you have my 11 petition or the letter from Adam Silver, that I -- I 12 wrote him, or, um --Uh, let me look back. I didn't see a petition, and Conlon: 13 14 I'm really not sure, uh -- I actually don't know too 15 much about what you're asking for. 16 Bereki: Okay. 17 Conlon: Uh, so, I think it'd be best for you to give me as 18 much information as possible. 19 Bereki: Okay. So, um, I -- there's a -- I was fined about 20 \$930,000.00 for allegedly not having a construction 2.1 license, and this was done under Business & 22 Professions Code 7031(a) and 7031(b). So, basically, 23 the majority of the fine came from 7031(b) and it 24 basically reads that if a person utilizes the services

of an unlicensed contractor, they can bring an action

25

in court to recover all compensation paid. So, let me give you an example of this. Like, let's say that, um, you hire me to build your home and you say Adam, um, I want you to build me a \$5 million mansion on the coast of Newport Beach. And so, I build you the mansion, and at the end of it you say oh, wonderful, beautiful job, um, but I found out you aren't licensed so I'm suing you and I want my \$5 million back and I get to keep the house. And so, we go to court. I don't have a license, you get the \$5 million from your house and I have to pay you \$5 million more. That's the law.

Conlon:
Bereki:

2.1

And so, are you an unlicensed contractor, then?

Well, that depends how you put it. Here's another

problem with the statute. So, I went and, um, took

the licensing exam and all of that and became a

qualifying individual for my company's contractor's

license. My company was named Spartan Construction,

or The Spartan Associates, is who the license was

under. So, when my company did work for these people

and we had a disagreement, they went to court and said

we never contracted with this company, we contracted

with him and because he's unlicensed, he has to pay us

all the money back. Well, of the \$848,000.00 that

they paid, \$758,000.00 was paid to my company, but the



1 court made me return that money that was paid to my company anyway. So, here's my contention with th- --2 this part of it, in addition to the fine aspect that -3 - uh, that I told you about earlier, is that if a 4 5 person goes and is a qualifying individual for their license, I mean, they say that the intent of the 6 7 licensing laws are to protect the public from 8 incompetent and dishonest contractors. So, if you go 9 through the test, you pass the licensing exam, you 10 provide the work experience, and all of a sudden if it 11 -- the license is under your company name, now you're 12 not licensed. Do you get what I'm saying? I -- I --13 Conlon: Not really. If you can --14 Um, let me --Bereki: 15 Conlon: Uh (inaudible - 00:03:52) --16 Bereki: -- put it a different way. 17 Conlon: You're saying you took the licensing exam, but that --18 uh, you're saying you took it, but then you're saying 19 that only the company had it. So, I don't know really 20 understand. 2.1 Bereki: That -- you -- you have it right. So, what --Yes. 22 so, like, let's say -- let's take an attorney, for 23 example. Attorney takes the bar exam, and he goes and 24 works for Company A. Company A, uh, gets sued. The -25 - the -- the attorney has a license, but --



1 Conlon: Mm-hmm. 2 Bereki: -- now, let's say he goes to Company B. He's still 3 licensed. That's --4 Conlon: Right. 5 Bereki: -- not the way it works in construction. The way that 6 the law is written is that even if you take the 7 licensing exam, if the license isn't in your name, you're unlicensed. 8 So --9 Conlon: Why wouldn't it be in your name if you took the exam? 10 Bereki: W- w- -- because that -- that's the way that they --11 they do it. So, like, let's -- m- -- I had a c- -- a 12 company called Spartan Construction. So, I took the 13 licensing exam because, obviously, a corporation can't 14 take a licensing exam, and so the application was made 15 in my company's name, Spartan, and Spartan is the one 16 that got the license, even though I was the one that 17 took the test --18 Conlon: Mm-hmm. 19 Bereki: -- and had the qualifying experience. This is unlike 20 this in any other licensing scheme in California. 2.1 -- so --22 Conlon: So, you took the application in your company's name, 23 and then your client is suing the company, but the 24 company is saying you weren't with them, even though 25 it was your company?



No. No, no. I'm -- um, let me -- let me reiterate.

So, my c- -- I'm alleging that my company is the one that contracted with them and did the work. And they're disgruntled and they said no, we didn't contract with your company, we contracted with you. And so, we already went to court and the court found that they contracted with me, individually, not my company. And because they said I was unlicensed, I got fined \$930,000.00.

Conlon: Mm-hmm.

Bereki:

2.1

Bereki:

Bereki: Now, the --

Conlon: And now, you're appealing that?

No. I've been through the appeal. This is the law. This -- what I'm telling you is California law. This is the public policy. So -- so, um, let's say that, um, the example with you that I did earlier, w- -- with the \$5 million house. It doesn't matter how much the contract amount is, it doesn't matter what kind of work was done. If the contract was \$20 million, the penalty would be \$20 million. The -- the judicial council actually went after, um, a company that was maintaining its court buildings because their license lapsed, and they went after them for \$27 million, for a simple \$300.00 licensing fee.

Conlon: Mm-hmm.



This is California's public policy, and no -- it's 1 Bereki: 2 been challenged many ti- -- I think it's been put into 3 the -- um, to the Supreme Court of California over 4 three or four different times since this part of it 5 was enacted in 2001. 6 Conlon: Mm-hmm. 7 And I know of one o- --Bereki: 8 Conlon: Do you --I -- I know --9 Bereki: 10 Do you have -- have you gathered any of that Conlon: 11 information? 12 Bereki: Yes. I have it all. I submitted it all to you with 13 the petition for redress of grievance that I filed 14 back in January. 15 Conlon: Yeah, I don't have that. 16 Bereki: So, does Jess Wang still work for you? 17 Conlon: Yes. 18 Bereki: So, Jess and I -- back in January, I called 19 We spoke on the phone, and she said send me an Jess. 20 email with all this information, so I did, and she re-2.1 -- she replied to my email saying that she had 22 received it and to reach out w- -- to her again in a 23 week, to see what the status was. Well, I did that 24 and she's never responded. I've phone -- I've made 25 phone calls, I've sent multiple emails, I've got no



```
1
                          So, then, what I started doing was sending
              response.
 2
              letters to Cottie's, um, office a- -- and the district
 3
              office in Sacramento. I sent another copy of the
 4
              complaint and I sent follow up complaints to her.
 5
              I've never received a response.
 6
    Conlon:
              Okay. Well, that's why I'm calling you, 'cause --
 7
    Bereki:
              W- --
              -- I -- I found out about that. I want to make sure
 8
    Conlon:
 9
              that we see what we can do.
10
    Bereki:
              Right. So --
11
    Conlon:
              Uh --
12
              -- I'm aware of another --
    Bereki:
13
              -- you (inaudible - 00:08:26) --
    Conlon:
14
    Bereki:
              -- another man.
15
    Conlon:
               (Inaudible - 00:08:27).
16
    Bereki:
              He got fined -- uh --
17
    Conlon:
               (Inaudible - 00:08:28) --
18
    Bereki:
              I'm sorry?
19
    Conlon:
              Oh, I'm just saying, usually, I'm not the person, but
20
              I just wanted to make sure and (inaudible - 00:08:36)
2.1
              that I got back to you, because when I found out that
22
              you haven't been responded to, I -- I thought it was
23
              very serious that I should get in touch with you.
24
    Bereki:
              Well, I appreciate that. Thank you. Um, so, what --
25
              the -- the -- there's a couple of issues.
```



I've exhausted all of my remedies in California court. 1 2 Like, this is California law, they are not changing it, and it's -- it's un- -- the -- the -- what the 3 courts are enforcing is unconstitutional because it's 4 5 an excessive fine, and they're not taking into the constitutional requirements for imposing an excessive 6 7 That's one issue. So, I can't do anything else fine. in California, in the courts, because that's the law 8 9 and that's what the Supreme Court has said the law is, 10 even though it's unconstitutional. So, I've tr- --11 been trying since at least January to g- -- go the 12 route of petitioning the legislature and, obviously, 13 you -- I just shared with you, my -- my results have 14 been since that. So, now, I've also gone to the 15 Executive Branch, meaning the police department, 16 because it's their duty to enforce the law and 17 somebody's gotta take action here because th- -- this 18 is financially destroying people, and I -- I don't 19 know how many other cases there are, uh, I -- I know 20 of several others, offhand, of people that -- they end 2.1 up having to file bankruptcy over this. And th- -- I 22 mean, look, the -- the criminal penalty for the same 23 violation is \$5,000.00, a maximum of \$5,000.00. How 24 do you go into a civil case and get fined almost \$1 25 million?



Conlon: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Bereki: I mean, look, it -- it's one thing if -- if you damage someone's house and they come into court and say hey, look, you ruined our property, it cost of \$500,000.00 to repair, here's the repair bills, here's the damage pictures. That's -- that's not what we're talking about here. There's none of that evidence even presented. What goes on here is basically you used an unli- -- if you use the services of unlicensed contractor, you go into court, it doesn't matter what kind of job they did, it doesn't matter the amount of the contract, nothing. \$10 million, \$5 million, \$100 million, you walk right into court and you say I used a unlicensed contractor and the court refunds the money. Now, that is entirely -- again, I told you -shared it was unconstitutional because it's a fine earlier, but on June 22nd of this year, the US Supreme Court came down with a case that dealt with an issue very similar to this. Um, that case is called Liu v. SEC, L-I-U v. SEC. Um --

Conlon: L-E-U -- sorry, what was it?

Bereki: L-I-U v. SEC. So -- so, what the courts here are doing in California is, they're calling this action discouragement, and this is gonna complicate things even more but I'll do the best to explain it -- uh, my



best to explain it. Discouragement basically means that someone who breaks the law and profits has to give up their profits. So, like, let's say a -- a bank robber robs a bank and he gets \$20,000.00. He un- -- he was unjustly enriched \$20,000.00, he has to give the \$20,000.00 back. Everyone gets that, that makes perfect sense. So, wh- -- when it comes to discouragement in civil cases, the only thing that can be taken, like, let's say in an unlicensed contractor case, is the profit that the contractor makes, not the whole contract. But what the courts are doing is, they're not taking into account the profit that the contractor makes, they're refunding the whole contract.

15 Conlon: Okay.

2.1

Bereki: And that's totally illegal because it turns into a fine, and then it's subject to the excessive fines clause.

Conlon: Okay.

Bereki: Now, the other issue that I have, I'm sorry to keep complicating things, is that because this law is purely penal, the legislature has no authority to give a private party a right of action to enforce a penal law. I mean, that would be like -- th- th- -- that's why the District Attorney is there.



1 Conlon: Sorry. I'm not sure what you mean about the 2 legislature's role in that. 3 Bereki: Okay. So, the legislature created the cause of 4 action, which is Business & Professions Code 7031(b) 5 and --6 Conlon: Right. 7 Bereki: -- it imposes --8 Conlon: I know that part. 9 Bereki: Okay. It imposes a penalty. 10 Conlon: Mm-hmm. 11 A strict penalty. Okay? That's what the penal code Bereki: 12 is for. So, when you --Conlon: 13 Right. 14 -- have a penal code case, like, let's say someone Bereki: 15 commits robbery, that's a -- that's a penal violation. 16 So, the person gets arrested by the police, and then 17 the police give the case to the district attorney, and 18 the district attorney has to file the case on behalf 19 of the people, to punish the person for breaking the 20 Same thing here. They can't grant -- under my law. 2.1 understanding, they can't grant that power to a 22 private party because that duty has been vested in 23 the, uh, Governor, in the Executive Branch, to ensure 24 that the law is faithfully executed. It's Article 5, 25 Section 1. So, a private party can't go into the



court and prosecute someone for robbery just like they can't prosecute someone for violating a license. It's a p- -- it's a -- it's a regulatory law under California's police powers.

Conlon: Okay. I'm gonna have to take a look into this because, uh, I mean, generally, the lawyers are the ones, you know, looking at the laws, and (inaudible - 00:14:45) counsel, so I can dive into it a little bit more. But, I mean, I'm not sure if -- I'm not sure it's connected in that way.

2.1

Bereki:

Uh, I get it. I -- I understand that, I mean, uh, you have, I'm sure, many duties and one of them is not studying the Business & Professions Code, and criminal law, and all that stuff. So, I -- I have no -- no doubt you need help, and all that stuff, and resources, but I'm -- I'm reaching out to you because, um, something needs to change, and, um, I -- uh, I don't have anywhere else to go. I mean, I'm pursuing every avenue that I have, um, and I feel that the legislature has a duty to fix -- uh, well, to respond to my petition, number one, uh, a duty to investigate what I'm saying, and if it's determined to be true, then to take corrective action and potentially even remedy the many of us that have been subject to this unlawfully.



Conlon: And so, you know, when I take a look at the right to petition your government, I mean, that's to seek assistance, uh, you know, without fear of punishment or (inaudible - 00:16:02). But, like, what -- like, there's not an official process for that, that I'm aware of.

Bereki: Well, I haven't been able to find one either, but when I do research on the right to petition for redress of grievance, it's also, um -- um, in the Constitution for the United States, under Article 1. And when you research the history of this, people would petition their legislature, the legislature would do an investigation and then take remedial action.

Conlon: Okay.

2.1

Bereki: So, um, I'm not sure what you're supposed to do. I -I would think that the fair and responsible thing to
do, that if -- if a constituent, um, reported, uh, a - a violation, a -- a major unconstitutional public
policy being enforced that's financially destroying
people, um, unlawfully, and it's the public policy of
the state and th- -- the courts are not responding to,
um, uh, challenges to it, that a legislator would have
a duty to investigate and do something about it. Um -

Conlon: Yeah. I know our office talks to the Attorney



```
General's office, uh, but I don't think they talk to
 1
 2
              our legislative counsel, which is our internal legal
 3
              counsel. So, I can do that. Uh, what did Adam Silver
 4
              say to you?
 5
    Bereki:
              I'm sorry?
 6
    Conlon:
              Um, Adam Silver is our ethics counsel, but, um, he's
 7
              separate from our legislative counsel. I was curious
 8
              what he said to you.
 9
              Um, Adam doesn't say much, or at least from what I
    Bereki:
10
              remember, because he's doing his job as legislative
11
              counsel. Um, do you mean, like, is he commenting on
12
              the constitutionality of the law or what I should do?
13
    Conlon:
              I'm just curious what he said. I don't know --
14
    Bereki:
              Okav. So --
15
    Conlon:
              -- in what context it is, but --
16
    Bereki:
              Yeah. He --
17
    Conlon:
               (Inaudible - 00:18:04) --
18
               -- h- -- he -- he has not, uh, talked about the
    Bereki:
19
              constitutionality of the law. He got me in touch with
20
              you, um, and, um, he said, uh, you should, uh, make
2.1
              every attempt to petition, you know -- follow every
22
              avenue that you have available to you.
23
    Conlon:
              Okay.
24
    Bereki:
              Um --
25
    Conlon:
              All right. Well, I will -- I will get your original
```



request from Jess and I will have our legislative 1 counsel -- we have a whole department of lawyers that 2 are our legal counsel, who can investigate. 3 4 Bereki: Okay. Can I do something really quick? Uh, let me --5 let me do this while I got you on the phone. 6 going to send you some, mm, key legal documents that 7 they could look at and see very clearly what's going on, um, very fast. Um, let's see, here. 8 That's one. 9 Okay. I just want to put two in here. And then, I 10 will forward you the informatio- -- the email from 11 Jess, so you have that too. Um, uh, I'm just looking 12 for the other document. Um, it's gonna take me a 13 minute. It's not in the place where I thought it was 14 Um, but I will -- I will forward them to gonna be. 15 you so that, um, these two things, if they take a look 16 at it right away and their attorneys, they'll know 17 exactly what's going on. 18 Conlon: Okay. 19 Bereki: Uh, and then --20 Conlon: All right. 2.1 Bereki: -- I'll forward you --22 Conlon: Okay. 23 Bereki: -- this string of emails from Jess. Um --24 Conlon: Okay. 25 Bereki: So --



1 Well, I have to talk to legislative counsel here. Conlon: 2 They usually -- I don't know how long some 3 investigate, but they'll tell me and then I can tell 4 you. 5 Bereki: Okay. Um, okay. That would -- that would be great. 6 Um --7 Conlon: But it -- I'm sorry you're dealing with all these 8 issues. 9 Bereki: Thank you for, um, empathizing. Um, I -- I'm trying 10 to -- uh, without -- I -- I'm on the verge of 11 being forced into bankruptcy right now, so -- this has 12 been going on since 2017, I've been trying to get help. Um --13 14 Conlon: Oh my --15 Bereki: So, um, i- -- it -- any help you could -- could give 16 would -- would be very much appreciated. And -- and I'm -- I'm happy to speak to anyone in the legislative 17 18 counsel department. If they have questions, I have 19 all the case law research done, I have all the 20 legislative history records. I have everything, all 2.1 the documents of all of it. Um, and I'm trying to 22 avoid filing a lawsuit, and suing the state, and doing 23 government tort claims on people, and all of that. I -- but, you know -- yeah. 24 25 Conlon: Well, hang in there. I know, like, times are tough



1		right now for everybody, but this is especially
2		difficult and stressful. So, send me whatever you
3		have and I'm gonna have our legislative counsel take a
4		look, and I'll I will let you know tomorrow
5		(inaudible - 00:22:20) looking at timeline.
6	Bereki:	Okay. The other thing I just want to tell you really
7		quickly, Claire, is that, um, there's another statute
8		in involved. It's, um, 7071.17.
9	Conlon:	Mm-hmm.
10	Bereki:	And because of that, because of this judgment and the
11		fact that I can't pay it and it's unlawful, they
12		suspended my license. So, I haven't been able to work
13		for three years, now, as in construction.
14	Conlon:	Hmm. Yeah. I can see how that further ex
15		exacerbates all these issues.
16	Bereki:	Yeah.
17	Conlon:	Okay. Well, I'm also gonna talk to the chief
18		consultant for the B&P committee, just to to
19		understand these issues as well.
20	Bereki:	Okay. Um, you do w do you want me to send
21		you any, like, um, real quick downloads of the law, or
22		you have all the things there, you you have it
23		already?
24	Conlon:	I mean, it helps. I do have access to all that, and I
25		think our legal counsel is gonna be the experts



```
looking at that, but whatever you can send me, like,
 1
 2
              uh, if you can send it -- you know, it's a lot of
 3
              stuff, but in one email, that's ideal, but if it's in
 4
              multiple I can -- I can handle that, too.
 5
    Bereki:
              Okay. All right. I will -- it's gonna be a couple
 6
              emails, um, and --
 7
    Conlon:
              Okay.
 8
              -- look -- be on the lookout for the one I -- I will
    Bereki:
 9
              make it, um -- um, stand out. These have the most
10
              important document in there. That has all the case
11
              law and everything in it. Um --
12
    Conlon:
              Okay.
13
              -- uh -- uh, but those are the ones that, before
    Bereki:
14
              delving into the whole morass of documents, if you
15
              ris- -- read these two things, it's pretty clear.
16
              um --
17
    Conlon:
              Okay.
18
              -- uh, thank you so much for your time. I -- I
    Bereki:
19
              appreciate your kindness and empathy, and -- and
20
              reaching out to me, uh, very much.
                                                   Thank you.
2.1
    Conlon:
                   Thank you for your patience in talking with me.
22
               It's seems like, uh, you've been frustrated. So --
23
    Bereki:
              Yeah.
24
    Conlon:
              -- I really --
25
    Bereki:
              Well --
```



```
1
    Conlon: -- appreciate that.
 2
    Bereki:
               -- it's not you. Uh -- uh, you didn't do it. You're
 3
               here, you're -- you're offering to help, so I'm
 4
               grateful. Um, so --
 5
    Conlon:
               Okay.
 6
    Bereki:
               -- thank you very much, and --
 7
    Conlon:
               (Inaudible - 00:24:38).
 8
    Bereki:
               -- I'll -- I'll look forward to hearing from you.
9
    Conlon:
               Thank you. Bye bye.
10
    Bereki:
              Okay. Bye bye.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, STEPHANIE HOWARD, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
4	FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT STATEMENT
5	OF THE AUDIO FILE PROVIDED TO ME TITLED "E15 CALIFORNIA
6	LEGISLATURE- COMPLAINT TO CLAIRE CONLON 091720 OF
7	ASSEMBLYWOMAN COTTIE PETRIE NORRIS' CHIEF OF STAFF.M4A"
8	DATED this 21st day of June, 2025.
9	
LO	Styphittmo
L1	Stephanie Howard
L2	Ditto Transcripts 1355 S. Colorado Blvd.
L3	Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222
L 4	Tel: 720-287-3710 Fax: 720-952-9897
L5	DUNS Number: 037801851
L 6	CAGE Code: 6C7D5
L7	Tax ID #: 27-2983097
L8	
L 9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

