Conversation with Investigator Anderson

Recording Name: [E13 OCSD- CALL WITH INV. ANDERSON 091520 ADDTL COMPLAINT.m4a]

Transcript Prepared By:



(720) 287-3710 1355 S. Colorado Blvd. Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222

DUNS Number: 037801851 CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097

Investigations, Investigator Anderson 1 Anderson: Hi. My name's Adam Bereki. How are you? 2 Bereki: 3 I'm well, sir. Anderson: Bereki: Um, I'm calling in regards to a case that was assigned 4 5 to you and, um, just to follow up with you on it, see where you're at, and I have some more stuff to add. 6 7 So, is now a good time to talk, or, uh --Anderson: Well, so, here -- here's a couple things. The quick 8 9 rundown is, I'm gonna submit it to the DA, at this 10 point, to have them look at it because, based on what I see in the report, it looks like -- uh, I'm trying 11 12 to figure out if this is the appropriate agency to 13 (inaudible - 00:00:37) because, essentially, it sounds like you're alleging that it's -- a judicial officer 14 15 is violating your constitutional rights and 16 conducting, like, criminal conduct which is related to 17 this, uh, civil court proceeding. Bereki: 18 What --19 Anderson: Is that, uh, a somewhat accurate summary? 20 Bereki: Um, yes, but it's not just him, it's the whole state. 2.1 It's -- it's a -- it's a policy that the Judicial 22 Branch has, that they're doing this. And, um, my 23 issue with coming to you guys is that the law was



promulgated by the legislature. The Judicial Branch

has upheld it and it is effectively, like, the policy

24

of California, and there's essentially few other alternative ways to do anything about it other than to have the Executive Branch enfor- -- do its duty to enforce the law and hold the other two branches accountable. Does that make sense?

Anderson: Yeah. I -- I hear what you're saying, and it's -it's referenced in the deputy's report that they took,
um, the idea of the whole checks and balances. Uh, my
concern is finding the appropriate agency to conduct
that investigation or that review, or whether or not
this actually belongs within the judicial system for
the appeal process, right, which, I think, reading the
report, you've been through some of that, uh, and
didn't get, uh, as far as you would have liked. Uh,
so that's what I --

Bereki: Okay.

2.1

Bereki:

Right.

Anderson: I have it. Um, I'm looking at it. I need to talk with the DA's office, and it -- it is a strong possibility that there might be another agency, Mr. Bereki, that would be better suited for this. W- -- uh, and I'm thinking, like, the state DOJ, perhaps, or, um, or maybe the FBI, right, because we're talking about inves- -- conducting a cri- -- uh, potential criminal investigation into a judiciary, right?

```
1
    Anderson: Uh, so -- so that -- that's -- that's what I'm
 2
              looking at. I'm asking for some other people to take
 3
              a look at it before, um, I get too involved with it.
    Bereki:
 4
              Okay.
 5
    Anderson: So, I --
 6
    Bereki:
              And I --
 7
    Anderson: -- I will definitely keep you posted, okay?
              I -- I --
 8
    Bereki:
 9
    Anderson: Uh, I --
10
    Bereki:
              -- I respect that. Uh --
11
    Anderson: My concern is -- is, uh, I will take care of your
12
              citizen concern because I want to make sure that it
13
              is, um, handled correctly, okay?
14
    Bereki:
              I -- I totally get that. Um, just to give you --
15
    Anderson: All right.
16
    Bereki:
              -- other -- a little bit of more info. I --
17
    Anderson: Mm-hmm.
18
    Bereki:
              -- um, did file a complaint with the -- the council --
19
              uh, the Commission of Judicial Performance --
20
    Anderson:
               Okay.
2.1
              -- which is the agency that reviews, um, the judges.
    Bereki:
22
              Um, they kicked my com- --
23
    Anderson: W- -- uh, who -- who are they out of?
24
    Bereki:
              Um, they're -- they're -- that's a California agency.
```



It's called the --

- 1 Anderson: So --
- 2 Bereki: -- Commission on Judicial Performance.
- 3 Anderson: That's out of the Governor's office, or the state?
- 4 Bereki: No, it's out of -- it's run by the Judicial Council of
- 5 California, I believe.
- 6 Anderson: Okay. Gotcha.
- 7 Bereki: It falls under them. Um --
- 8 Anderson: Commission of Judicial --
- 9 Bereki: Performance.
- 10 Anderson: Say w- --
- 11 Bereki: Commission on Judicial Performance.
- 12 Anderson: On Judicial Performance.
- 13 Bereki: Yes. Now, they kicked my report back and said,
- basically, you're complaining about legal errs by the
- judge. Um --
- 16 Anderson: Okay.
- 17 Bereki: -- and my issue with that is that, yeah, they might be
- legal errs, but they're also deprivations of rights
- and they're criminal if they take property without
- going through the channels that are established by the
- 21 Constitution. So, that's one agency that I've gone
- 22 to. Um --
- 23 Anderson: Okay.
- 24 Bereki: -- I did contact the FBI twice.
- 25 Anderson: Mm-hmm.



```
The first time, um, I -- I -- I called the FBI
 1
    Bereki:
 2
              because, on the Department of Justice's website, the
 3
              USDOJ's website, they say when you have a, uh,
              deprivation of rights case, like under 18 USC 241 or
 4
 5
              242, call the FBI. So, I called the FBI and, uh,
 6
              whoever the joker was that answered the phone
 7
              basically said we don't investigate that, and then
 8
              hung up on me when I tried to ask him more questions.
 9
              Um, I called back --
10
    Anderson:
              Did you --
11
    Bereki:
               Go ahead.
12
    Anderson: Did -- did you call their public access like, the
13
              Tell (ph) line?
               Um --
14
    Bereki:
15
    Anderson:
               Uh --
16
    Bereki:
               -- I c- -- I called whatever number was, um, to
17
              report an incident, uh, the --
18
    Anderson:
               Okay.
19
    Bereki:
               -- crime tip line. Um --
20
    Anderson:
               Okay.
2.1
    Bereki:
                -- I've -- I've since a- -- uh -- uh, served a public
22
              records request on the FBI, um, to get a copy of that
23
              tape but they're claiming we don't have a copy of it,
24
              a copy of the tape of the call. Um --
25
    Anderson: Gotcha.
```



```
Bereki: -- and, um, they also claim they don't have any
 1
              policies -- th- -- any records of any policies on when
 2
 3
              they're supposed to take a report and when they're
              not. Uh, so --
 4
 5
    Anderson: Gotcha.
 6
    Bereki:
               -- I waited a couple months and called the FBI again.
 7
              Um, I this time spoke to a duty agency and he took my
 8
              information and said that he would, um, file a
 9
              complaint but refused to give me his name or a case
10
              number. Um, I called back --
11
    Anderson: Do -- do you know what -- what office that was out
12
              of, or what (inaudible - 00:05:30) --
13
    Bereki:
               I believe it was Orange.
14
    Anderson:
               Orange?
15
    Bereki:
               Yeah.
16
    Anderson:
               Okay.
17
    Bereki:
               Um, and then, uh, I called --
18
    Anderson:
               What day was that, sir, if you recall?
19
    Bereki:
               I'm gonna say maybe, like, three months ago, two
20
              months ago.
2.1
               Two to three months ago? Okay.
    Anderson:
22
               Um, I called back again, about a few weeks ago, and
    Bereki:
23
              asked to get the status of the report or to get the
24
              case number or the agent's name, and they refused to
25
              give me all of those.
```



1 Anderson: Okay. Um, so, yeah, that's -- that's where it's at. 2 Bereki: I -- I 3 did also -- I think, in the report, they do mention something about me filing a claim in federal court. 4 5 Okay. So, it's the --6 Anderson: The c- -- the report that the deputy took for you? 7 Bereki: No. (Inaudible - 00:06:19). 8 Anderson: 9 Bereki: It's -- it's -- it's on Page 2 of 3. It says Adam 10 filed a complaint with the US Supreme Court. Um, no, 11 that's not true. Uh, what I did was, because 12 California will not recognize what they're doing, I went to federal court to have the federal court, uh, 13 14 void the -- the -- uh, or, vacate the void judgment 15 that California's doing, because you can't get any 16 help in California. So, that judge threw my case out 17 and said, basically, um, that she didn't have 18 authority to, um, hear and determine the case. And 19 so, then, when I tried to file an appeal, she told the 20 appellate court that my appeal was of bad faith and 2.1 frivolous. Um, so, I filed --22 Anderson: (inaudible - 00:07:07) federal court, not the US 23 Supreme Court, that's the correction you want? 24 Bereki: Well, it's the 9th Circuit, yes. I -- I went to the 25 local -- the Federal District Court for the Central



District of California.

2 Anderson: Okay.

Bereki:

2.1

And, um, she dismissed my case because the court didn't have subject matter jurisdiction, so she says.

Uh, and the -- kind of the basic premise of that is that the feds can't overrule a valid state judgment.

Um, but my issue is that it's not a valid judgment because they did it without authority. So, that's why I was going to have them vacate the judgment. So, um, once she dismissed my case, I went to file an appeal, uh, in the 9th Circuit and the District Court judge, um, filed a document stating that my appeal was on -- uh, taken in bad faith and frivolous. So --

Anderson: Okay.

Bereki: -- um, back in March of 2020, this year, I was able to file a -- a statement of why the appeal should go forward, and, um, I've not heard anything back. It's been, um, six months now. Um, so, uh, I've also been reaching out to local legislators. Um, I did the Assemblywoman Cottie Petrie-Norris in my district, and up until, um, Friday of this week, she's refused to be in touch with me for about eight months. She's refused to communicate with me.

Anderson: Mm-hmm.

25 Bereki: Um, I did also contact Senator Moorlach, who's also



```
in my district, and, um, he closed my complaint.
 1
 2
    Anderson: Yeah. I -- uh, I don't know what to tell you other
 3
              than what -- uh, kind of where I'm at right now is,
              uh, trying to look for someone at the DA's office to
 4
 5
              review it, to see if we move forward a- -- or if
 6
              there's a better agency that would be more appropriate
 7
              to --
 8
    Bereki:
               I -- I hear you.
 9
               -- (inaudible - 00:09:10) so --
    Anderson:
10
               Um, I tried to --
    Bereki:
11
    Anderson: -- that's what we're doing, and as soon as I get word
12
              on that, I will for sure reach out to you.
               Great. I -- I also did send a email to the, um,
13
    Bereki:
14
              California Attorney General, their --
15
    Anderson:
              Okay.
16
    Bereki:
               -- Public Information Unit, and I asked them --
17
    Anderson:
               Uh-huh.
18
    Bereki:
               -- for the publ- -- the procedure to file a, um,
19
              deprivation of rights complaint b- -- against a public
20
              official, and I did that about a week ago and no one's
2.1
              responded to me.
22
    Anderson: Okay.
23
    Bereki:
              Um, so, I -- I hear you with the DOJ thing, I think
24
              it's a great idea, um, but I'm basically coming to you
25
              because nobody else is doing anything. And I just
```



wanted to add one other thing. At the end of the report, um, uh, it says Adam said he would hold the sheriff's department res- -- responsible if we fail to adequately investigate his claims. Yes. I think that you guys have a duty to investigate and also to protect me and to intervene when my rights and property are being violated and -- and unlawfully taken. So, um, I -- I would like to add that too. Uh, so, it's not just -- it's not just a matter of whether someone finds it criminal or not, it's a matter that my rights are being violated and that I'm reporting it to the Executive Branch of government, and, uh, I feel that you guys have a duty to protect my rights and property and -- uh, from being taken, uh, under color of law without authority.

Anderson: All right. And I am gonna do my best to figure out what correct executive branch would investigate that for you.

- Bereki: Thank you. I appreciate your help so much.
- 20 Anderson: All right.
- 21 Bereki: Have a good day.
- 22 Anderson: We'll talk to you --
- 23 Bereki: Okay.

- 24 Anderson: -- in the future.
- 25 Bereki: Okay. Bye.



1 Anderson: Bye.



1	TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, STEPHANIE HOWARD, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE
4	FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT IS A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT STATEMENT
5	OF THE AUDIO FILE PROVIDED TO ME TITLED "E13 OCSD- CALL WITH
6	INV. ANDERSON 091520 ADDTL COMPLAINT.m4a".
7	DATED this 21st day of June, 2025.
8	
9	Styphittmo
LO	Stephanie Howard
L1	Ditto Transcripts 1355 S. Colorado Blvd.
L2	Suite C515 Denver, CO 80222
L3	Tel: 720-287-3710 Fax: 720-952-9897
L 4	DUNS Number: 037801851
L5	CAGE Code: 6C7D5 Tax ID #: 27-2983097
L 6	1dx 1D #. 27-2903097
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

